

The Case for a Guaranteed Income



The Canadian Welfare System (vs) Guaranteed Livable Income (GLI)

A Guaranteed Livable Income (also known as a basic

income) would automatically top up the incomes of

Canada's current welfare system is complex, intrusive, and inefficient. And inadequate benefit levels trap people in poverty.

people living in poverty using direct, automatic payments via the existing tax system. Mary is a single mother of a young boy. She lost her job last year, has

work, and has used up all of

A GLI would provide her with a far better option than welfare.

Mary's only option is to apply for welfare.





Onerous Criteria (vs) Minimal Criteria



must be completely destitute. She has to liquidate most of her assets, including her vehicle and savings.



If Mary falls on hard times, a GLI would help her temporarily weather the storm with an automatic income top-up while keeping the productive assets needed to leave - and stay off - social assistance.

assets a GLI recipient would be able to keep while still qualifying for assistance



house



RRSPs & other savings



vehicle



To keep her benefits, Mary has to report regularly in person to continuously justify her need for social assistance while proving she's looking for work.



Burdensome Administration Administered through Tax System



Because a GLI would be administered through the tax system, there would be no need for the bureaucracy that oversees the current welfare system. No application, no ongoing monitoring: Mary simply has to fill out a tax return.

Steps required to get and continue receiving welfare:



With the complex criteria for qualifying and ongoing monitoring, the system to adminster welfare saps an incredible amount of time and resources.



per cent of a caseworker's time spent just administering the rules

Steps required to receive GLI assistance:



Because no one would know they received a GLI, recipients wouldn't suffer the shame or stigma that comes with welfare.

> savings per year in adminstrative costs were a GLI to replace the welfare system



Disincentives to Work (vs) Incentives to Work





Mary found a part-time job. But because she's earning extra income, her benefits get clawed back significantly.

A GLI encourages Mary to work by giving her the security of an income guarantee – without fear of being worse off by working. She would pay regular



Getting off of welfare can be extremely difficult. Extra income can mean cuts to:

- > social assistance benefits
- >subsidized housing
- >prescription drugs

And it leads to work-related costs like:

- > payroll taxes
- > transportation costs
- > childcare



80-100%

effective tax rate (income up to \$30K) All of these costs amount to what's essentially a tax at a rate much higher than that for Canada's most wealthy.

Compared to not working at all, people are often worse off accepting low-paying employment.

In nearly half of poor households in Canada, at least one person has a job.



per cent of poor households in which at least one person works.

A GLI would allow people to look for better jobs or upgrade their education and training.









Caring for a child

Caring for a loved one

Keeping a home

Volunteer work

A GLI would recognize the significant contributions of those not in the labour force, but whose work still brings economic and social value.



Economic value of volunteer work in Canada (estimate)



Economic value of all non-paid work in Canada (estimate)

And for those unable to work or to find work, a GLI would provide a more dignified life.



enough to provide for her and her son. She's forced to choose

No matter how poverty is measured, there's no denying that welfare rates don't come close to meeting basic needs.



Inadequate Rates (vs) Enough to Live

With a GLI, Mary's income would be enough to ensure she and her son don't have to live below the poverty line. She'd be able to meet her basic needs and those of her son.

Amount per month needed for a single person and child to live at the poverty line (\$23,498/yr.)

\$1.050.00 rent + utilities (2 bdrm.) \$400.00 food \$120.00 public transport \$50.00 clothing/personal care remaining for other basic necessities \$238.00

\$1,858 per month is still not much, especially in a larger urban centre, but it's at least enough to ensure an adult and child can get by.

Ineffective vs Effective



takes a significant social and economic toll on





Canadians living in poverty



Canadians on welfare



cost for the entire welfare system per year (administration & benefits)

\$72-8*6*

total cost of poverty in . Canada per year (estimate)





annual savings due to reduced crime/judicial costs (estimate)





annual savings due to reduced health care costs (estimate)

And putting more income in the pockets of low income earners, who spend their money on necessities, will boost local economies.