



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

**6th Session**

**9th Assembly**

**HANSARD**  
Official Report

**SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 1981**

**Pages 137 to 202**

Speaker: The Honourable Donald M. Stewart, M.L.A.

# LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF THE NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

## Speaker

The Honourable Donald M. Stewart, M.L.A.

P.O. Box 1877  
Hay River, N.W.T., X0E 0R0  
(Hay River)

Appaqaq, Mr. Moses, M.L.A.  
General Delivery  
Sanikiluaq, N.W.T.  
X0A 0W0  
(Hudson Bay)

Arlooktoo, Mr. Joe, M.L.A.  
Lake Harbour, N.W.T.  
X0A 0N0  
(Baffin South)

Braden, The Hon. George, M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 583  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0  
(Yellowknife North)  
Leader of the Elected Executive and Minister  
of Justice and Public Services

Butters, The Hon. Thomas H., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 1069  
Inuvik, N.W.T.  
X0E 0T0  
(Inuvik)  
Minister of Finance and of Economic  
Development and Tourism

Curley, Mr. Tagak E.C., M.L.A.  
Rankin Inlet, N.W.T.  
X0C 0G0  
(Keewatin South)

Cournoyea, Ms. Nellie J., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 1184  
Inuvik, N.W.T.  
X0E 0T0  
(Western Arctic)

Evaluarijuk, Mr. Mark, M.L.A.  
Igloodik, N.W.T.  
X0A 0L0  
(Foxye Basin)

Fraser, Mr. Peter C., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 23  
Norman Wells, N.W.T.  
X0E 0V0  
(Mackenzie Great Bear)  
Deputy Speaker and Chairman of Committees

Kilabuk, Mr. Ipeelee, M.L.A.  
Pangnirtung, N.W.T.  
X0A 0R0  
(Baffin Central)

McCallum, The Hon. Arnold J., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 454  
Fort Smith, N.W.T.  
X0E 0P0  
(Slave River)  
Minister of Health and of Social Services

MacQuarrie, Mr. Robert H., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 2895  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0  
(Yellowknife Centre)

McLaughlin, Mr. Bruce, M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 555  
Pine Point, N.W.T.  
X0E 0W0  
(Pine Point)

Nerysoo, The Hon. Richard W., M.L.A.  
General Delivery  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0  
(Mackenzie Delta)  
Minister of Renewable Resources and of Energy

Noah, Mr. William, M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 125  
Baker Lake, N.W.T.  
X0C 0A0  
(Keewatin North)

Patterson, The Hon. Dennis G., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 262  
Frobisher Bay, N.W.T.  
X0A 0H0  
(Frobisher Bay)  
Minister of Education

Pudluk, Mr. Ludy, M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 22  
Resolute Bay, N.W.T.  
X0A 0V0  
(High Arctic)  
Deputy Chairman of Committees

Sayine, Mr. Robert, M.L.A.  
General Delivery  
Fort Resolution, N.W.T.  
X0E 0M0  
(Great Slave East)

Sibbeston, Mr. Nick G., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 560  
Fort Simpson, N.W.T.  
X0E 0N0  
(Mackenzie Liard)

Sorensen, Mrs. Lynda M., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 2348  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0  
(Yellowknife South)

Tologanak, The Hon. Kane, M.L.A.  
Coppermine, N.W.T.  
X0E 0E0  
(Central Arctic)  
Minister of Government Services

Wah-Shee, The Hon. James J., M.L.A.  
P.O. Box 471  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X1A 2N4  
(Rae - Lac la Martre)  
Minister of Local Government and of Aboriginal  
Rights and Constitutional Development

## Officers

Clerk  
Mr. W.H. Remnant  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0

Clerk Assistant  
Mr. D.M. Hamilton  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0

Law Clerk  
Mr. Peter C. Fuglsang  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0

Editor of Hansard  
Mrs. M.J. Coe  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0

Sergeant-at-Arms  
S/Sgt. David Williamson  
Yellowknife, N.W.T.  
X0E 1H0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

28 November 1981

	<u>PAGE</u>
Prayer	137
Oral Questions	137
Questions and Returns	138
Tabling of Documents	139
Notices of Motion	140, 201
Motions	140
Consideration in Committee of the Whole of:	
- Bill 1-81(3) Supplementary Appropriation Ordinance, 1981-82, and	
Tabled Document 7-81(3) Supplementary Appropriation No. 1, 1981-82	141
- Bill 2-81(3) All-terrain Vehicles Ordinance	186
- Bill 4-81(3) Education Ordinance	187
- Bill 5-81(3) Fair Practices Ordinance	187
- Bill 7-81(3) Interpretation Ordinance	188
- Bill 8-81(3) Judicature Ordinance	189
- Bill 9-81(3) Northwest Territories Housing Corporation Ordinance	190
- Bill 11-81(3) Northwest Territories Public Service Association Ordinance	193
- Bill 12-81(3) Territorial Parks Ordinance	194
- Bill 13-81(3) Travel and Outdoor Recreation Ordinance	194
- Bill 14-81(3) Workers' Compensation Ordinance	195
- Bill 15-81(3) Ordinance Amendment Ordinance, 1981	195
- Bill 19-81(3) Wildlife Ordinance	199

PAGE

Report of Committee of the Whole of:

- Bill 1-81(3) Supplementary Appropriation Ordinance, 1981-82, and Tabled Document 7-81(3) Supplementary Appropriation No. 1, 1981-82	200
- Bill 2-81(3) All-terrain Vehicles Ordinance	200
- Bill 4-81(3) Education Ordinance	200
- Bill 5-81(3) Fair Practices Ordinance	200
- Bill 7-81(3) Interpretation Ordinance	200
- Bill 8-81(3) Judicature Ordinance	200
- Bill 9-81(3) Northwest Territories Housing Corporation Ordinance	200
- Bill 11-81(3) Northwest Territories Public Service Association Ordinance	200
- Bill 12-81(3) Territorial Parks Ordinance	200
- Bill 13-81(3) Travel and Outdoor Recreation Ordinance	200
- Bill 14-81(3) Workers' Compensation Ordinance	200
- Bill 15-81(3) Ordinance Amendment Ordinance, 1981	200
- Bill 19-81(3) Wildlife Ordinance	200
Orders of the Day	201

YELLOWKNIFE, NORTHWEST TERRITORIES

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 28, 1981

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. Appaqaq, Hon. George Braden, Hon. Tom Butters, Mr. Curley, Ms Cournoyea, Mr. Fraser, Hon. Arnold McCallum, Mr. MacQuarrie, Mr. McLaughlin, Mr. Noah, Hon. Dennis Patterson, Mr. Pudluk, Mrs. Sorensen, Hon. Don Stewart, Hon. Kane Tologanak, Hon. James Wah-Shee

ITEM NO. 1: PRAYER

---Prayer

SPEAKER (Hon. Don Stewart): Orders of the day for Saturday, November the 28th. Item 2, replies to Commissioner's Address. As all Members are aware of this, by way of motion yesterday the replies have been extended for an indefinite period for this session.

Item 3, oral questions.

ITEM NO. 3: ORAL QUESTIONS

Mr. MacQuarrie.

Question 17-81(3): Inquiry Into School Board Operations, Yellowknife

MR. MACQUARRIE: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. My question is for the Minister of Education. Will the Minister tell this House what prompted him to initiate an inquiry into the operations of the school board of Yellowknife Education District No. 2 in the first place, please?

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Minister.

Return To Question 17-81(3): Inquiry Into School Board Operations, Yellowknife

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. As the honourable Member for Yellowknife Centre should know, there were a number of concerns expressed by ratepayers of the separate school board about the quality of education in the schools in the education district. I was visited by several delegations, including the former chairperson of that school district, and I was asked to look into these problems. I was even asked to dissolve the school board, so I felt I had to do something. That was what prompted the study, Mr. Speaker. I received some advice from my colleagues on the Executive Committee before initiating that study. Thank you.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Mr. Minister. Mr. MacQuarrie.

Supplementary To Question 17-81(3): Inquiry Into School Board Operations, Yellowknife

MR. MACQUARRIE: A supplementary, Mr. Speaker. Does it not, then, seem strange to the Minister that those members of the public who sought an inquiry in the

first place, after the time has been taken to mount an inquiry, after the expense to the public of the inquiry, after the trouble that the inquiry has caused, does it not seem strange to the Minister that those very members of the public who sought an inquiry are the ones now who are left ignorant about the results of it? And further, does the Minister not find his attitude somewhat paternalistic in his assurances to these people that there is nothing of significance in the results of the inquiry that they need to concern themselves about?

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Minister.

Return To Supplementary To Question 17-81(3): Inquiry Into School Board Operations, Yellowknife

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: Mr. Speaker, the reason for undertaking the inquiry was to satisfy myself that there was an adequate education standard being maintained in the particular school district within the meaning of the Education Ordinance, and within my responsibility under the Education Ordinance. I assured the honourable Member yesterday that I was satisfied after receiving the report, that indeed there was and is an adequate educational standard present there. I communicated those views to the school board in question, and also to the public, so the people who had concerns should have their concerns alleviated. I do not feel paternalistic either, Mr. Speaker. Thank you.

MR. SPEAKER: Oral questions. Mr. MacQuarrie.

Further Supplementary To Question 17-81(3): Inquiry Into School Board Operations, Yellowknife

MR. MACQUARRIE: If I may, a final supplementary for today on that matter, Mr. Speaker. The letter that I received from the board says that it is our perception that acceding to your request -- that is my request for a copy of it -- would supersede the prerogative of the Minister to determine to whom, if anyone, reports to the Minister ought to be released. So they appear to be maintaining a fiction that they do not want to release it, because in some way that would supersede powers or authority that you have. So will the Minister at least today publicly state that he gives his permission to the separate school board to release that report to the public, or urges them to do so?

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Minister.

Return To Further Supplementary To Question 17-81(3): Inquiry Into School Board Operations, Yellowknife

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: The separate school board has my permission to release that report to the public. Thank you.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you. Oral questions.

Item 4, questions and returns.

ITEM NO. 4: QUESTIONS AND RETURNS

Any written questions? Mr. Patterson.

Return To Question 7-81(3): Night Watchman At Akaitcho Hall

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I have a return to Mr. Noah's question, written Question 7-81(3), concerning night watchmen at Akaitcho Hall. Akaitcho Hall does have a night watchman. He is on duty from 10:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m. every night. On four occasions, he goes right through Akaitcho Hall and he has key stations at various strategic points within Akaitcho Hall. In addition, we have two dormitory supervisors on each side, the boys and girls, each night. Thank you.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you. That was a return. Are there any written questions? Are there any further returns? Mr. McCallum.

Return To Question 8-81(3): Accommodation Situation In Yellowknife

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Mr. Speaker, Mr. MacQuarrie asked Question 8-81(3) on November 13th regarding what measures the Government of the Northwest Territories is taking or intends to take to address the problem of a shortage of accommodation in Yellowknife. I would want to indicate to the Member that the Northwest Territories Housing Corporation and the Department of Economic Development and Tourism are developing, in concert, a need-and-demand study for Yellowknife which will be co-ordinated with the city of Yellowknife through the office of the mayor. I would expect that this would be the first step in a process toward identifying just what the accommodation needs are for the city of Yellowknife.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you. Are there any further returns? Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Speaker, I am not sure whether this is the proper place, but yesterday I asked for a legal opinion with respect to the separate school board Department of Education study that was done. I wonder if that legal opinion is ready, and if this is the proper place to give that.

MR. SPEAKER: It is rather unclear by the orders of the day, but I see no objections to having it dealt with now if it is ready. Is it ready? Mr. Law Clerk.

Return To Supplementary To Question 14-81(3): Willingness To Table Report On School Board

LAW CLERK (Mr. Fuglsang): Yes, Mr. Speaker. In response to the question of Mrs. Sorensen yesterday, I believe the question had two parts. One, who does the report of the superintendent to the Executive Member belong to, and secondly, is he obligated to make it public? To the first part, of course, it is an internal report between the Minister and his staff that has no public aspect in that it was never a public report initiated as a public report for a public inquiry. It is within the terms of the Education Ordinance that the superintendent shall investigate matters relating to education within his superintendency and report to the Executive Member as required, and this is the context in which the report, I understand, was requested. That report having been given to the Executive Member involved, is a matter between himself and his own obligations under the Education Ordinance. Therefore, it is my opinion that he has no obligation to make it public, certainly not a legal obligation to do so. Thank you.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you. Are there any further returns?

Item 5, petitions.

Item 6, tabling of documents.

ITEM NO. 6: TABLING OF DOCUMENTS

Mr. Tologanak.

HON. KANE TOLOGANAK: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I wish to table the following documents: Tabled Document 9-81(3), 1980 Fourth Annual Report, Workers' Compensation Board, Northwest Territories. The other is Tabled Document 8-81(3), Report of the Workers' Compensation Task Force, August 1981.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Mr. Tologanak. Are there any further documents for tabling today?

Item 7, reports of standing and special committees. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, the standing committee on finance will be presenting its report later on today in committee of the whole. The report concerns the Supplementary Appropriation Ordinance, 1981-82. I will speak to it then.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen.

Item 8, notices of motion for first reading of bills.

Item 9, notices of motion.

Item 10, motions. Pardon me. Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: I had my hand up on notices of motion.

ITEM NO. 9: NOTICES OF MOTION

MR. SPEAKER: Proceed, please.

Notice Of Motion 8-81(3): Supplementary Appropriation No. 1 To Committee Of The Whole

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Speaker, I give notice that I will be asking unanimous consent today to move a motion moving the document I tabled yesterday, Tabled Document 7-81(3), Supplementary Appropriation No. 1, 1981-82, into committee of the whole for consideration along with Bill 1-81(3).

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Mr. Minister. Are there any further notices of motion?

Item 10, motions.

ITEM NO. 10: MOTIONS

Mr. Minister.

Motion 8-81(3): Supplementary Appropriation No. 1 To Committee Of The Whole

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Speaker:

I MOVE that Tabled Document 7-81(3), Supplementary Appropriation No. 1, 1981-82, be moved into committee of the whole for consideration along with the appropriate bill, Bill 1-81(3).

MR. SPEAKER: To the motion, you are asking for unanimous consent. Do I have unanimous consent to proceed with this motion at this time?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

MR. SPEAKER: Are there any nays? You have unanimous consent.

---Agreed

Do you wish to speak to your motion or accept it as being read into the records?

HON. GEORGE BRADEN: I second it.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: No, sir.

MR. SPEAKER: Seconded by Mr. Braden. Are you ready for the question?

AN HON. MEMBER: Question.



Motion 8-81(3), Carried

MR. SPEAKER: Question being called. All those in favour? Opposed, if any?  
The motion is carried.

---Carried

Motions. I understand there are no other motions in the book ready for debate.

Item 11, introduction of bills for first reading.

Item 12, second reading of bills.

Item 13, consideration in committee of the whole of bills, recommendations to the Legislature and other matters; Bill 1-81(3), Bill 2-81(3), Bill 4-81(3), Bill 5-81(3), Bill 7-81(3), Bill 8-81(3) and Bill 9-81(3), with Mr. Fraser in the chair.

ITEM NO. 13: CONSIDERATION IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE OF BILLS, RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE LEGISLATURE AND OTHER MATTERS

---Legislative Assembly resolved into committee of the whole for consideration of Bill 1-81(3); T.D. 7-81(3); Eleventh Report of the Standing Committee on Finance; Bill 2-81(3); Bill 4-81(3); Bill 5-81(3); Bill 7-81(3); Bill 8-81(3); Bill 9-81(3); Bill 11-81(3); Bill 12-81(3); Bill 13-81(3); Bill 14-81(3); Bill 15-81(3); Bill 19-81(3), with Mr. Fraser in the chair.

PROCEEDINGS IN COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE TO CONSIDER Bill 1-81(3); T.D. 7-81(3); Eleventh Report of the Standing Committee on Finance; Bill 2-81(3); Bill 4-81(3); Bill 5-81(3); Bill 7-81(3); Bill 8-81(3); Bill 9-81(3); Bill 11-81(3); Bill 12-81(3); Bill 13-81(3); Bill 14-81(3); Bill 15-81(3); Bill 19-81(3)

Bill 1-81(3), Supplementary Appropriation Ordinance, 1981-82, And Tabled Document 7-81(3)

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The committee will come to order. We are dealing with Bill 1-81(3) and Tabled Document 7-81(3), Supplementary Appropriation No. 1, 1981-82, sixth session. Mr. Butters, do you have any opening remarks and how do you wish to handle this bill?

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Chairman, I would suggest that we would hear the report from the chairman of the standing committee on finance and following that I may wish to respond to any specific concerns that are raised within that report pertinent to our discussion here. We do have, as you know, the appropriations divided into two parts, the operations and maintenance supplementary requirements and the capital requirements. The Deputy Commissioner, who is responsible for the capital planning committee and that overall capital planning responsibility, is in the House and I would suggest at that time he might be called to answer as a witness to any specific questions Members may have.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Butters. Mrs. Sorensen, as chairman of the finance committee, do you have any remarks on this bill? Mrs. Sorensen.

Remarks From Chairman Of Standing Committee On Finance

MRS. SORENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just very brief opening remarks and then I would suggest that we handle it page by page. As we go through it I will have remarks on each page and on items within each page. That probably will save us time, rather than going through my whole report once and then repeating it again as we go through each page.

Generally then, your standing committee met to review the supps early this week. They total \$1,472,000 for operations and maintenance and \$13,246,600 for capital. A series of questions were sent to the appropriate Ministers. Responses have come in. Those that have not been received as yet are expected today.

The administration has assured your committee that although this Legislature will be approving the \$13 million increase in capital spending, additional money will not be sought from Ottawa. There are always projects each year which, although approved, are not proceeded with or are set aside and funds are then reassigned or carried over to the next year. It is expected that these projects will be identified by January.

Those are my opening remarks, Mr. Chairman. I would suggest that we go to page one, large number one, and begin with the program, Legislative Assembly, under operations and maintenance, if that is all right with the Minister.

Legislative Assembly, O And M

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. If everybody has the supplementary appropriation book we will turn to page one, large one, Legislative Assembly, operations and maintenance, supplementary estimates, No. 1, \$221,000 to provide additional funding in the area of constitutional development and administrative support. Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Just one brief comment. The Member mentioned that letters had been sent out to a number of departments and answers were expected today. I think some of the answers may be available today, but some of the material requested required more research than the time allowed. So if it is necessary, we can leave this item open into next week, at which time the answers will be available. I was not aware, except by copy, that these requests had been made and I have made it my responsibility to attempt to pursue the departments and obtain the information and I would like to correlate it and provide it as a total package. I understand she has some replies, but I will not be presenting the others today. I think some of her questions will be answered orally by either myself or the Minister responsible.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Butters. Any further comments on page one? Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes. The committee reviewed the \$221,000 supp. We were concerned that that money contained the three new positions that had been requested by the Legislative Assembly. We were assured that they were contained within that amount; we were also concerned that our base would be adjusted to reflect that amount in the 1982-83 budget. We were assured by the administration that our base would reflect the \$221,000. That is all I have to say about this, Mr. Chairman.

Legislative Assembly, O And M, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Any further comments? Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Finance

O And M, Administration, Comptrollership

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Go to page two then, this is Finance, operations and maintenance. Administration, \$34,000, and comptrollership, \$44,000 to transfer the central registry function to the Department of Government Services in the Inuvik and Keewatin regions. Mr. Butters, have you anything to add to this?

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Add to what, this page two?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page two.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: No. I was waiting to hear from the standing committee on finance.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, these amounts of dollars on page two reflect a transfer of funds, not an additional expenditure. However, the standing committee had questions concerning the central registry. We have sent a letter to Kane Tologanak, the Minister of Government Services, requesting further information. We learned that a central registry is a central filing of all government correspondence. Previously the Department of Finance had one central registry for all correspondence. Now, this service has been reorganized to allow each department in headquarters, as well as each region, to have its own central registry.

Your committee was concerned that there be a key index system to allow for access to information in an efficient way by all departments and regions. That was the nature of the letter that we sent to Mr. Tologanak. Perhaps Mr. Tologanak could respond. He may have a reply to our letter with him now.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Tologanak.

HON. KANE TOLOGANAK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In respect to Mrs. Sorensen's letter, a response is being prepared and will be available, along with Mr. Butters' package, early next week.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Tologanak. Mrs. Sorensen, have you anything further? Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: No.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): No more. Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Just to say that, as the chairman of the committee pointed out, it is a transfer and you will find that it will be reflected on page five. It is transferred from Finance to Government Services on page five, so it is a very simple financial arrangement.

O And M, Administration, Comptrollership, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Butters. Page two then, is it agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Personnel

O And M, Personnel Services

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page three, we have Personnel, personnel services, operations and maintenance, a transfer of \$20,000. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. This also reflects a transfer of funds, not an additional expenditure. However, your committee had several questions with respect to the devolvment of Social Services, of the area Social Services responsibility, from the territorial government to the town of Frobisher Bay. Your committee supports the decision of the government to devolve that responsibility. Great concern, however, was expressed over whether the amounts being transferred to the town were sufficient to provide this service. We

therefore requested a copy of the agreement that has been drawn up between the town of Frobisher Bay and the Minister of Social Services and we will be reviewing it. In fact, there are some questions that we could be asking of the Minister of Social Services concerning that agreement now, Mr. Chairman, if I could proceed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. McCallum.

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Mr. Chairman, the standing committee did request a copy of the agreement signed by the town and myself, on behalf of the government. I understand that the committee has received that copy. As to the kind of funding that was involved, it was an agreed upon amount of money that was comparable and is more than adequate -- I should not say more than adequate, but is adequate to carry on the provision of social services, and that is the total spectrum of services, to the town of Frobisher Bay.

The agreement did not just simply deal with having the town of Frobisher Bay, if you like, look after social assistance, it went through all the departments. We can provide the exact amount -- I think that exact amount is within the agreement -- but certainly, the kind of funding that was involved here was not just in terms of the amounts of money that we had developed within our budget for all aspects, but in terms of providing as regards the Department of Personnel and in terms of providing housing and other amounts of money that would be normally provided to people were they not local employees.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. McCallum. Mrs. Sorensen.

Concerns Of Standing Committee On Finance

MRS. SORENSEN: The concern that was raised in the standing committee was: Is there opportunity within the contract for the town to renegotiate its base should it find, after a year of being involved in this service, that its base was not adequate? The reason that we were concerned about this was that we know that devolvement of responsibility to the lowest level is sometimes more expensive than the central body, being Yellowknife, taking responsibility for it. So, we just wanted to make sure that there was a trial period, one or two years, where the community would have the ability to make representation to the government should it find that the base is just simply not adequate.

The other question that we had, Mr. Chairman, was, are the rates that the town of Frobisher Bay will be offering to its citizens, who are in need of social assistance, tied to the territorial rates? I am sorry, I have not had time to read the contract, so I am not aware of what is in the contract, but it appeared to us that it would be important that there be some link to the territorial rates with respect to social assistance.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. McCallum.

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Mr. Chairman, in response to the first question, or concern, that was raised by the committee as to whether there would be an opportunity to renegotiate the base if, in fact, it is not adequate; certainly, there will be. In negotiating the funding that was given to the town, both parties were satisfied that the amounts of money that we would be spending for the provision of social services, not the least of which would be social assistance, is adequate. However, I would certainly indicate now to this committee and to the standing committee on finance, that should the base funding not be adequate, we would be more than willing to sit down with the town and look at the base funding. Once having made the decision to decentralize or devolve, I should say, that responsibility to the town of Frobisher Bay, we would not want to put them under the gun in terms of the kind of funding that would be necessary to provide social services in total to the people of the town.

As regards the second concern, whether, in fact, the rates for social assistance are similar to those that we would, that is, the department, would have been providing to people, I would again assure the chairman of the standing committee on finance and this committee that those rates are the same.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. McCallum. Mr. Patterson.

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. On top of that, Mr. Chairman, if I may add this small note, as the representative for Frobisher Bay. The mayor has announced that he intends to crack down on welfare abuse in Frobisher Bay, so in fact rather than more cost to the government, if this takes place, we may even save money from this change, which I have always supported, and I am pleased to see the finance committee also supports it. Thank you.

O And M, Personnel Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Patterson. You will not do that until after the next election, I imagine. Page three, personnel services, O and M, a transfer of \$20,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Justice And Public Services

O And M, Mining Inspection Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page four, we have Justice and Public Services, operations and maintenance, mining inspection services, \$300,000. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, your committee requested information on the status of the expected transfer of funds from the federal government to the territorial government to go along with the transfer of the responsibility for the mining inspection services. I think Members will remember that the transfer took place, I believe, on July 1st, 1981. We learned that a Treasury Board submission was this week leaving the Department of Indian Affairs and Northern Development, and it is expected that the funds transfer will be approved shortly. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Government Services

O And M, Supply Services, Energy Conservation, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page five, six. I have nothing on five, here. It is a blank page. Page five, we have Government Services. Supply services, O and M, \$78,000. Energy conservation, O and M, \$139,000. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Again, Mr. Chairman, this is a transfer in of funds, rather than an additional expenditure of funds, and it was to transfer: 1) the central registry from the Department of Finance into the Department of Government Services, and 2) to transfer the energy conservation activity from the Department of Renewable Resources into Government Services. Your committee was interested in the transfer, and we would at this time like to ask the Ministers of Government Services and Renewable Resources why the transfer of energy conservation from one to the other, what the rationale was behind that -- not necessarily with a view to criticizing it, we are just interested in why the transfer was felt necessary.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: In the absence of the Minister for Renewable Resources, I will attempt to provide the rationale behind the suggestion. The reason being that the title "energy conservation activity" is an activity and a direction which I understand to be applied to the government's operation as a whole, and not in a broad umbrella type of approach or direction. It was for this purpose that it was felt that as the Department of Government Services is in the business of managing our resources, the energy resources that we consume ourselves, and computers and various other things, that that control and that program would be better handled by the department that is closest to the responsibility.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Butters. Supply services, 0 and M, \$78,000 and energy conservation, 0 and M, \$139,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Public Works

0 And M, Repair And Upkeep Of Buildings And Works, Operation And Repair Of Equipment, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page six, Public Works. Repair and upkeep of buildings and works, 0 and M, \$1000 and operation and repair of equipment, 0 and M, \$2,300. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: There is nothing to report on this page. Again, it reflects a transfer of funds.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Renewable Resources

0 And M, Energy Conservation, Environmental Planning And Assessment

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page seven, Renewable Resources, energy conservation, 0 and M, \$139,000 in the green. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Again, the \$139,000 is the transfer from Renewable Resources to Government Services. The \$354,000 however, we do have a comment on. A total of \$350,000 is being requested by the Department of Renewable Resources to provide for an environmental assessment capability. Members will remember that these dollars were requested in a B level submission that went to Treasury Board last spring. This House and your committee supported that request for additional funding. The federal government refused the request, however, and our government has had to find the dollars within our own budget because of the priority that was placed on this activity by the Legislative Assembly. Your committee requested a breakdown of the projects that were being planned, were in progress, or had been completed, and we are awaiting a letter with respect to the details.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Chairman, yes, a letter will be provided. I can give a bit of an oral response to the question. It may not be as complete as the chairman wishes, but it will give some indication of how that \$354,000 has been broken up, and to what projects or areas it has been allocated.

The first aspect of the total environmental planning and assessment program consists of an item of \$124,000, and that is broken down to include the partial years salary of a project co-ordinator for seven tenths of a man year; environmental assessment biologists for \$60,000; and administrative support for \$14,000; or O and M for five positions, that was three biologists; and O and M for five positions was \$25,000. The total for manpower amounts to \$124,000.

With regard to the projects that these individuals would be concentrating on, there were identified four major project areas. One was the Macmillan Pass/Howard's Pass area. The other was the Cadillac/Prairie Creek project area. The third was the Beaufort Sea/Mackenzie Delta area. The fourth, the Liard and Slave River hydro project area. The object here was to conduct overviews to determine anticipated impacts and get a broad, general outline of some of the concerns that would have to be examined in detail. Costs there are projected as Macmillan Pass, \$40,000; Cadillac area, \$30,000; Beaufort Sea, \$45,000; and the Liard and Slave River hydro area, \$30,000, which totals \$145,000.

In the third aspect would be the renewable resource inventories, and the amount of \$85,000 is being projected for the part year. These would include wildlife inventories in or about Slave Delta/Mackenzie Valley, \$35,000; Mackenzie Mountain/Liard, \$35,000; Mackenzie Delta/Beaufort Sea, \$15,000. So I will ensure that the committee and the chairman have this information in its complete detail, and any other material they require.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Butters. Environmental planning and assessment, \$354,000. Agreed? Mrs. Sorensen.

#### Capability To Respond To Effects Of Forest Fires

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, I have a personal question, and that is this. Do we have a capability within the Renewable Resources department to respond to the tremendous forest fires that we have experienced over the last 10 years with respect to the environmental problems that have resulted, particularly south of the lake, not only to the animals but to the water table. Is this in any way reflected in the department's budget now, or are we going to expect a supplementary estimate later on, so that we can do something about that, too?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Who wants to answer that? Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Chairman, I cannot answer the question. I think that possibly the Commissioner is more familiar just where that responsibility now lies, whether we have it, and if we have it, what capability we have of assuming it.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, I do not believe that Mrs. Sorensen was asking about the transfer of responsibility for forest fire-fighting, but the effects of forest fires. We have a very limited response capability. We have certain programs in Renewable Resources for assisting trappers who have been burned out. We have no major programs which would cover the whole area. We have no effect, for instance, on the water tables or, you know, certainly nothing in the way of reforestation or anything like that. We have concerns in those areas, there is no doubt about that, but we do not have a major response capability yet. It is very difficult for us to get a real determination of the effects of forest fires. We know that they can be disastrous, and are, in many areas, but it is difficult to know immediately whether or not the areas are totally destroyed, or partially destroyed, or whether the trappers are able to relocate to some extent. In summary, we have a very limited capability.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: The reason that I asked this, Mr. Parker, is that in the write-up under the \$354,000, it is clear that the territorial government wishes to get into a capability for environmental assessments, or they call it an environmental assessment capability. You have identified, now, four areas that you require funding for. This is obviously something new. What I am saying is, does the Executive Committee see that a capability to respond to the problems that forest fires are creating in the Northwest Territories, with respect to our animals and our water levels and our hunters and trappers and that whole industry? Does the territorial government feel that that could be a fifth area of priority, and if so, is there any work being done to determine what dollars could be identified to produce that capability?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Chairman, I think the question is a very valid one, and I think that I would like to take it as notice and have it responded to by the Minister and his staff in some depth. I do not think that the Executive Committee has looked at that area specifically, mainly because the responsibility for forestry remains with the federal government. Although we may wish to assume it, or more consider assuming it, providing the proper funding came along with it, there has been no movement in that direction as yet.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Butters. Environmental planning and assessment, \$354,000. Mr. Curley.

MR. CURLEY: Mr. Chairman, I think I would like to have an opportunity to ask the Minister -- when you talk about giving the Government of the Northwest Territories an environmental assessment capability, I think that requires more than just giving the Northwest Territories government an approval as far as the financial resources are concerned. There are questions I think that should be answered. What kind of an agency are we talking about? Are we talking about hiring a top-notch environmental representative that would be able to have legislative support without -- I would be a little bit leery of giving a quick approval to this without really knowing what kind of a structure this agency is going to have. It may be that the agency does already exist, but so far we have not seen the impact of its work in the Territories. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Curley. Mr. Butters.

Program Identified In B Level Submission

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Chairman, the Member will recall that the chairman of the standing committee, in her opening remarks, indicated that this program results from the B level submission that was put forward last fall and which was not approved. Members were aware of our B level requirements at that time and the wildlife element was quite clearly defined and identified in that B level submission. We had the authorization of the House to take that B level to Ottawa and when the money was not found for it, the Executive Committee went ahead, believing that the need to respond to projected and proposed development impacts was so important and so immediate that we should go ahead and find money within our surplus cash position, and that is what we have done. So, it is not a new program, Mr. Chairman. It is a program which has been, we believe, identified by Members as most urgent and most important -- important enough to have appeared in the B level submission, and that is what it is. We were reflecting here an initiative which was turned down at the federal level.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: The actual arrangements by which the environmental assessment challenges are met -- I guess I would ask the Minister to refer to these and I will indicate to him that the Member is quite keen on getting a full reply on those arrangements.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Butters. Ms Cournoyea.



Federal Funds For Environmental Impact

MS COURNOYEA: This is a question. In terms of developing an adequate assessment capability and the environmental concerns, I was wondering if, perhaps, the department had sought to identify some money from the recent program that Mr. Munro announced in connection with the Norman Wells pipeline. A certain amount of funds was identified for environmental impact, and the concern I would have is not necessarily the direction the department has taken in looking to this concern, but the inadequacies of a program and, perhaps, if we just take a piecemeal approach to the program, we may find ourselves falling back, rather than taking advantage of the funding that was identified for environmental concerns, especially up and down the Mackenzie River in direct connection to the Norman Wells pipeline. Has any progress been made in that?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Ms Cournoyea. Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Chairman, I make it quite clear that I am responding with regard to material that I am not directly sure of, except by the examination that I shared with other Members of the Executive Committee of the document which we agreed upon and sent to Ottawa to have placed before the Minister. My recollection was that there were certain environmental aspects contained in that report, but I do not think that they were of major significance. Each of the ones that would have been identified would have been directly related to the Norman Wells pipeline and the community of Norman Wells itself and the development of islands in the rivers there. So, I would have to ask the Minister again to respond to that directly, and definitely with regard to the amount of money that was identified and for what projects the department identified that money, but the criteria which prescribed our decisions on that were on the Norman Wells project alone. As I mentioned, the projects that are outlined herein are definite and specific projects, like the Cadillac mine, and its impact on the South Nahanni and the park, and the Macmillan Pass, and its impact on the game populations and the waters that drain out of the mountains in that area. I think they are site-specific matters, that are being looked at in this particular item.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Butters. Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MACQUARRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Do I take it from the Minister's remarks, though, that although this is a temporary and sort of emergency measure, that the Executive Committee is committed to strengthening our environmental assessment group; that it is an objective of the Executive Committee to ensure that in the face of the very great development projects that we are going to be facing in the next year, two, three, five years, that they agree that we do need to have a very effective environmental assessment group?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, what has not been said clearly enough is that this unit is being set up now -- it is partially set up -- as a response team to look to the environmental aspects of major non-renewable resources activities. It is not fully set up yet. It will consist of a chief and three or four biologists and technical people, and it is very much to answer the questions that are raised and provide information for the Executive and this House on projects that are initiated by resource companies under federal legislation. So, we must be in the position of responding. One of those principal areas of response is described in the text here, and that is having a proper amount of base line data on the animals in given areas.

Specifically, in the Norman Wells area, in answer to the Member's question, we do indeed hope to get additional money to carry out the environmental assessments in that area and we will need all of the money that we can get, in addition to this, in order to do this very major task ahead of us.

O And M, Environmental Planning And Assessment, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Environmental planning and assessment, O and M, \$354,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Local Government

O And M, Municipal Affairs

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page eight, Local Government, municipal affairs, \$200,000 to provide funds for the home-owners' tax rebate program. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The committee generally discussed the property tax rebate. Members will remember that when the Minister of Local Government announced the property tax rebate plan last year, or earlier this year, he also announced that there would be an increase in that rebate in the 1982-83 budget. We generally discussed that increase, the planned increase, and whether the territorial government was increasing its revenues accordingly, to allow for a responsible attitude toward this policy.

We generally discussed the property tax assessments that we are aware have been going on over the last few months outside of municipal jurisdictions and we have requested, then, of the department, further information on assessment programs for 1980-81, 1981-82 and 1982-83, particularly with respect to whether we were seeing an increase in the assessments and whether that was, in turn, increasing our revenues and whether we were anticipating an increase for 1982-83. We only sent the letter two days ago and, therefore, are still awaiting a response.

O And M, Municipal Affairs, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Page eight, municipal affairs, O and M, \$200,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): At this time I would like to recognize a newly elected chief, Mr. Norbert and Mrs. Norbert, from Arctic Red River.

---Applause

Department Of Social Services

O And M, Administration, Agreed

Thank you. Page nine, Social Services. Administration, O and M, \$23,300. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: We have nothing to report on this.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Education

O And M, Vocational Education

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 10, Education. Vocational education, O and M, \$397,000. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, we wrote a letter requesting the Minister of Education to provide us with details of the pilot project. I believe the Minister is willing to reply to that letter now. Is that not right?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Patterson.

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: Yes, I am willing to reply, Mr. Chairman, and I hope that the chairlady of the standing committee on finance has received the information requested.

MRS. SORENSEN: Not yet.

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: I will make sure that this copy I have here is given to you immediately, then.

Mr. Chairman, the details on this are first of all, the supplementary funding required is based on two recommendations from the Legislative Assembly. The first one was that allowances be improved for TEP, teacher education program students and the second one was that a way be found to allow classroom assistants to get teacher training without having to come to the institution to get that training; that they be able to do it on the job, without having to leave their communities if they did not choose to do so. Generally then, the two areas are designed to encourage more native northerners to become teachers and to be employed by the Government of the Northwest Territories, partly because it is a policy of the government to increase the number of native northerners in the work force, but also, because it is our policy, and indeed, our statutory obligation, to have the primary school program delivered in native languages where required by the local education authorities, and to offer instruction in native language in other parts of the education program.

TEP Field-Based Project

Both of these programs were advanced because there are still not sufficient numbers of bilingual northern students entering the teacher education program and classroom assistants -- and there are many of them -- who want to break out of their paraprofessional status, have two options; that is, either to take teacher training, which, prior to the special allowances meant a significant loss of income, and many of these people, as Members know, have families and obligations to their relatives, so the special allowances were designed to make it financially a less disadvantageous step. Then, the other problem was that even if we were to provide better allowances at TEP, many classroom assistants' family responsibilities prevent them from leaving home for two years to go to an institution. For this reason, the pilot project -- a field-based project, as it is called -- was approved, to allow for 12 student teachers to participate, six from the West and six from the East. Basically, although it had been recommended that one way of doing this was to provide a training salary, it was proposed in this program that the classroom assistants who participate in the field-based program keep their classroom assistant positions. Essentially, they are expected to perform the duties of a classroom assistant for about seven out of the 10 months of the school year, whereas, for three months they would be released from their regular duties in order to be able to take theory courses.

Apprentice teachers are assigned to regular classroom teachers, who are able and prepared to implement and supervise an in-service practice teaching program over the three year training program. This practicum will be supplemented by short theory courses, approximately four three-week courses in each of the three years

of the training school. Both these courses -- both the practicum and theory courses -- are delivered on an extension basis, under the supervision of TEP and part of the costs are associated with hiring two field co-ordinators, one working out of Fort...

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): You are just going a little bit too fast, Mr. Patterson. Could you slow down? Thanks.

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: Two field co-ordinators are required, one working out of TEP in Fort Smith, the other working out of TEP in Frobisher Bay. Their job is as a co-ordinator, supervisor and lecturer, and they will supervise the training situations; that is, the teams of the co-operating teacher and the apprentice teacher. That principle of making use of existing teachers to train apprentice teachers was also something approved by the Legislative Assembly on a motion by Mr. Curley, I believe, Mr. Chairman.

The basic costs in addition to the co-ordinators' salaries, involve course costs, which include student travel to the courses, room and board for students who are travelling to the courses, books and supplies, allowances for the co-operating teachers, and substitute salaries for the substitutes who are required when classroom assistants are away from school.

#### Grant From Donner Foundation

Mr. Chairman, the chairman of the standing committee on finance had asked about a recent grant from the Donner Foundation and I expect she was concerned whether or not this grant might eliminate the need for our funds to be spent. The grant, first of all, was not made to the Government of the Northwest Territories, but rather to McGill University, in order that they could release some staff to instruct courses in the field. This money is to be spent by the university at its discretion and the university will use these funds to enhance the program, so that instead of 12 students per year in the three year program, more students can be involved. One of the conditions of the Donner Foundation grant is that the Government of the Northwest Territories make an equal if not larger contribution, which we will be able to do if these supplementary estimates are approved. I hope that answers the questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Patterson. Ms Cournoyea.

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Chairman, the question I was going to ask related to the Donner Foundation grant on the three year project that was designed for -- it appeared to me, from what I remember, that it was very similar to the project outlined by the Minister of Education, so the question I was going to ask was why were we doing the same program that McGill had received funding to do? If it is not the same program, or kind of program, what is the difference?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Ms Cournoyea. Mr. Patterson.

HON. DENNIS PATTERSON: Mr. Chairman, first of all we do not have any control over the funds provided to McGill University. Secondly, the contribution to McGill University would not permit us to undertake this program, because all those funds are directed toward is allowing McGill University staff to instruct courses in a similar way that McGill University staff have been used to instruct student teachers in the Kativik school board -- whereas we have to pay a full-time co-ordinator, and we have to use these funds to bring the students in to take the courses, to pay for their room and board. In effect what McGill University is doing is allowing for more courses to be taught than this program allows, and thereby permitting students to take more courses and thereby more students completing their training earlier. It is merely an enhancement of what we are doing, and certainly not a replacement of what we are doing.

O And M, Vocational Education, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Patterson. Page 10, vocational education, \$397,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Executive

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 11, Executive. We are into capital. Mr. Butters, do you want to bring in a witness at this time?

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. With the committee's approval, I would like to request that the Deputy Commissioner, Bob Pilot, be asked to join us.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Is it agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Would the Sergeant-at-Arms see that Mr. Pilot is brought in as a witness? Thank you. We have Executive, capital, on page 11. Mrs. Sorensen.

Capital, Executive Committee Secretariat

MRS. SORENSEN: With respect to the \$348,200, executive committee secretariat, we have no comments.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you.

Capital, Regional Operations Secretariat

Capital, \$3,158,700, regional operations secretariat. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The committee requested a breakdown of the projects underway, and the projects completed under this request for \$2.5 million. The list is attached for Members' review. It is at the very back of the committee's report. There was \$500,000 allocated under this plan to each region. The details of how they are expending those dollars are carried in the two page report. Yes, at the very back.

Members will see that there is a breakdown for the Baffin, the Keewatin, the Central Arctic region, the Inuvik region, and the Fort Smith region. This was a suggestion made at the budget session. We felt that some moneys should be identified within capital that would go directly toward energy retrofit programs. This is respecting that request of the Legislative Assembly.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Curley.

MR. CURLEY: Yes. Could we have the page number where the breakdown is? It is fine for the Members of the standing committee to know exactly where it is, but it is kind of difficult for...

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): I notice that there is no breakdown in my copy, either. Is it just some of them that have the breakdown in, or do they all have them in? Thank you. All the Members, then, must have them. The breakdown is in the back. Mr. Curley.

MR. CURLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I have a question for the Deputy Commissioner, whether this breakdown is really allocated to mainly the government housing or whether it is also directed toward the hamlet housing, or hamlet properties, because my recollection is that this retrofitting seems to have been mainly devoted to the government and the Public Works properties, rather than the hamlet capital buildings. Could you tell me whether or not this program also is applied to the hamlets, or whether it is really just a departmental program?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Pilot.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Mr. Chairman, the retrofit program was indicated in our March 12th paper to the House as being a program for retrofit of government buildings, and it was recommended also that the program be extended in the new year to the Department of Local Government to take hamlets into consideration. The program as you see it is for government buildings only.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Pilot. Any further questions? Page 11. Mrs. Sorensen.

Funding For Community Hall In Fort Good Hope

MRS. SORENSEN: With respect to the turning back of funds, \$180,000, the committee asked for an explanation as to why the delay in the construction of the new office complex in Tuktoyaktuk -- we were informed that the Inuvialuit Development Corporation is developing a proposal to construct a building and lease office space to the Government of the Northwest Territories to meet its needs. With respect to the community hall in Fort Good Hope, the \$770,000 there, further information, I think, is required on whether that project is under way from Mr. Pilot at this time.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Pilot.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: The building at Fort Good Hope is for all intents and purposes completed, and I believe will be opened officially within the next month.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Pilot. Page 11. Agreed? Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Well, with respect to the \$770,000, I am not sure. Was that an identification of money that you had found you needed to complete the building? I am not sure what it means there. It is an awful lot of money, and it does not say it is a revote to finish the project. I am just wondering what it means.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Pilot.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: That is the total amount of the project, Mr. Chairman. It is a new appropriation. It is not a revote. It was brought to the Executive and to the financial management board and approved in principle several months ago. The Minister of Local Government may wish to speak to that as it does come under his portfolio.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. For your information, this page 11 and page 12 go together. Am I right, Mrs. Sorensen?

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MACQUARRIE: Is Mr. Wah-Shee going to respond first? I do not know. A question was asked.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Wah-Shee.

HON. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Yes. Mr. Chairman, the total amount is included within the figure of \$770,000. We are not asking for additional money, it came as an overall package.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Wah-Shee. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, as the chairman of the standing committee on finance, I have great difficulty with the Executive Committee approving a major project such as the community hall without the acquiescence of the Legislature. I wonder if the Minister could give us a reason as to why that community hall was of such urgency that the Executive Committee decided to go ahead with the expenditure of funds without the permission of this Legislature?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Wah-Shee.

HON. JAMES WAH-SHEE: I do not believe that we went without the permission of the Legislature unless you have some information which I do not, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Wah-Shee. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: It has just been explained to us that this is a new appropriation. A new appropriation, to me, means that it was not in the main estimates, nor was it voted in the main estimates for 1981-82. That is why I made the comments that I made. Perhaps it was there and I did not notice it, but I am not familiar with the project, and I am not aware that this Legislature voted the project last year.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Wah-Shee.

HON. JAMES WAH-SHEE: I would like to provide the detailed information regarding the project pertaining to Fort Good Hope for the information of the Members this afternoon.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Wah-Shee. Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MACQUARRIE: Well, Mr. Chairman, I would certainly need that information before I can vote for this. I had the question in my own book before it was raised by Mrs. Sorensen, because I find this most irregular, that a new capital project should be brought in under supps, because it seems to me that if we start getting into the habit of that, it subverts the authority of the Legislative Assembly to appropriate money. In addition, it seems to me that it says something about our planning or lack of planning with respect to the development of new projects, and unless there is an awfully good explanation as to why it had to be done this way, I feel that I could not support that. We cannot be, in this House, presented with what amounts to a fait accompli. That is not acceptable.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. MacQuarrie. Mr. Curley.

#### Hiring Of Local Contractors

MR. CURLEY: Yes. I have a question to the Minister responsible for Public Works with respect to the regional operations supplementary estimates. I would like to know how that part of the program was spent, as far as the contractors -- where they were chosen from. Were the contractors hired in the local communities, or did the department go out on tender and hire mostly outsiders as far as the labourers were concerned? If this program is going to continue, will the Public Works still go out and hire mostly outsiders and not use the local contractors? Could someone respond to that, please?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Curley. Mr. Pilot.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Mr. Chairman, my apologies to Mr. Curley. I missed the first part of his question. Was that in regard to the retrofit program?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): I believe it was. Mr. Curley.

MR. CURLEY: Yes, it is, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Pilot.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Mr. Chairman, the retrofit program at the regional level comes under the direct responsibility of the regional director in each of the regions, and we have asked them to develop the program and to initiate the program at the regional level, utilizing the resources at hand and the manpower at hand within the region. In the Keewatin, my understanding is that there is a shortage of tradesmen. In my last discussion with the regional director, I understood that they may have to bring in some qualified tradesmen for the work that has to be done, but that for the majority of the work, they anticipate utilizing local labour and local firms.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Pilot. Mr. Curley, supplementary.

MR. CURLEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. That seems to be contradicting the original director's information given to me that their direction is from the headquarters that this kind of work requires expertise and therefore the fact that it is difficult to estimate the kind of work required in this bill, and they went and hired a contractor completely inexperienced with the community as far as the people were concerned. And they were not about to change that policy, because they feel it is cheaper to hire an outside contractor. In my mind it was a lot more expensive because they had to keep all those labourers in the hotel, and therefore it was not at all any cheaper than hiring a local contractor.

I do not think that it is the business of the government to try and count how many tradesmen there are in the communities, because you are only dealing with a contractor. There are a number of contractors in the region, but the government failed to give them the opportunity, in spite of this Assembly's policy to try and give preference to northern contractors. So I think this Assembly is really being taken for a ride by the Department of Public Works in spite of its call for northern preference, and still give them a wide-open opportunity to hire people from Winnipeg. Because I know in Rankin Inlet the retrofitting program was lucky to have five local people, and they had maybe over 20 people from outside staying three months in the hotel at \$95 a day, and it was not any cheaper at all.

I am just wondering whether or not we are abusing this kind of public funding, and no way at all contributing to the northern economy. I would suggest that the Minister responsible for Public Works give assurance that they will make use of the northern contractors. It may take a little more time, but I think in the long run it is going to be cheaper. Could he give some assurance that he will attempt to influence his regional directors that northern contractors be given preference in this kind of program?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Curley. Mr. Pilot.

#### Retrofit Program

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Mr. Chairman, if I recall correctly when we debated the retrofit program in March of this year, the recommendation that was put forward -- and I have not deviated from that recommendation one bit -- and that was that each region would be responsible for carrying out and determining the retrofit program, and I will just read from the paper that I have in front of me. It says, "Because each region is different, both in terms of its inventory of facilities and its capability to carry out major renovations and retrofit work, each regional director would be responsible with assistance of headquarters



expertise as required for identifying suitable projects, evaluating the local employment situation, developing and co-ordinating the retrofit program for his region, and ensuring that the projects are placed in the three year capital plan, and in this way, it would be possible to proceed with a retrofit program as well as the ongoing capital program in a planned and staged manner."

It still, as far as the retrofit program is concerned, is a regional responsibility in identifying the work and in identifying our local employment possibilities and utilizing that to the utmost. So I will certainly give Mr. Curley my assurance, and I will remind the regional directors that this is their responsibility in the development of the capital plan, in particular with respect to the retrofit program, that it is aimed at local employment situations, and it is to look at each of their regions and their particular needs and desires.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Pilot. Have you a supplementary, Mr. Curley?

MR. CURLEY: Yes. I wonder if the Executive Member would assure me whether or not it would be possible to table in the House exactly who are the contractors in each community assigned to do the retrofitting work, and how many were hired from down south to do the work, and how many of them were actually from local communities involved in this program, because that information is very, very important. Otherwise, I think we will be doing a disservice, if we do not maximize the local labourers, to be involved in this kind of program. Could you assure me that you will table this information before this document is passed? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Curley. Mr. Pilot, could you get that information?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Yes, I will, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. We will take a 15 minute coffee break and then come back and complete.

---SHORT RECESS

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The Chair recognizes a quorum. The committee will come to order. I have Ms Cournoyea.

MS COURNOYEA: On page 12, on item, Fort Good Hope community hall/office building; Mr. Wah-Shee, Mr. Chairman, has indicated that he would later this afternoon be giving us some further information. I also would like to place a few questions to be answered with that information.

Funding For Fort Good Hope Community Hall/Office Building

Since the official opening of the complex is next month, perhaps he could also answer what moneys the Fort Good Hope group were using to pay for the ongoing cost. Presumably, they would have had to make some advances to the construction crews as the project proceeded. As well, the \$770,000, was that the cost in total? Could he, as well, indicate what departments made the contributions and where these contributions were assigned from?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Ms Cournoyea. Mr. Wah-Shee.

HON. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Mr. Chairman, could I ask the committee if they would allow me to request my deputy minister, Larry Elkin, and Al Falconer to give a detailed explanation regarding the Fort Good Hope community hall/office building?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Wah-Shee. Is it agreed that we call in the witnesses, Mr. Wah-Shee's deputy minister and Mr. Falconer? Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Would the Sergeant-at-Arms see that the witnesses are called in and seated at the table, Mr. Elkin and Mr. Falconer. We have Mr. Larry Elkin, deputy minister, and Mr. Falconer. Mr. Wah-Shee.

HON. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Mr. Chairman, earlier there were questions raised regarding the amount which was previously approved by the Legislature. I believe the portion which was contributed by the Department of Local Government, which is over \$300,000, was approved previously. The other amount, which is a contribution from the other departments, I believe is new moneys. I would like to ask Mr. Elkin to explain a little further on that, but also, to reply to Ms Cournoyea's question, as well.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Wah-Shee. Mr. Elkin, did you get the question from Ms Cournoyea?

MR. ELKIN: Yes, I believe so, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Will you proceed, then?

MR. ELKIN: Yes. I should explain that although there are some components of this project that could be construed as being new, the key parts of the project are not new and were voted when the 1981-82 estimates were approved by the House. There was \$100,000 voted for a new office and chambers for the community. There was also \$200,000 voted, as well, for a new community hall.

Early in the year we discussed this with the community and rather than building two structures, they stated that it would be more desirable to have a complex and in that complex to have the offices for the community, their council chamber, and a community hall. They also wanted to provide offices for their social staff as well, and for Renewable Resources. So, the reason that you see the item here is that there has been some growth in the cost of the project and because it is no longer voted under this department, because it involves several departments. It is now under the Executive vote. Does that provide an answer to the question?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Elkin. Ms Cournoyea.

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Elkin, you indicated on departmental assignments a fund of \$100,000 and \$200,000, but the total budget is for \$770,000 and since the project had begun some time ago and is scheduled to be opened, I am wondering at what time did you indicate to the Fort Good Hope community that they would be able to receive an additional \$470,000?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Elkin.

Funding Projected Over Two Years

MR. ELKIN: Just to clarify that point, I should have added as well that we at first thought the project would have to be carried over a two year time frame, but through the work of the community, it now appears that it can be completed in one year. We had shown before another \$200,000 in 1982-83, so when you compare the cost that is shown in the estimates book to the total projected funding that we had for the project over the two years, we are comparing \$500,000 against the total, that I understand is \$770,000.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): It is \$770,000.

MR. ELKIN: Right. So, the main reason, then, is that the project has been compressed into a year and the fact that these other offices have been added. If separate offices were built in the future to meet the social needs and Renewable Resources, they would be built at much higher costs than is outlined at this time. Even though \$770,000 is required now, if it was carried out the way that it was planned before, the costs would be far higher.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Elkin. Mrs. Sorensen, did you wish to speak?

MRS. SORENSEN: Not unless someone else has -- do you have more questions, Ms Cournoyea?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Ms Cournoyea.

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Chairman, you indicated that there were \$200,000 allocated for the following year, over a two year project, but yet, we are still outstanding \$270,000. You indicated that it was desirable to include offices that may have been appropriated for future years. Were those offices for the community, while being in the allocations, on the three year budget?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Elkin.

MR. ELKIN: In terms of that point, I cannot confirm the actual years, but I do know that the departments, whether they be Renewable Resources or Social Services, have planned to build facilities, but I do not have the facts to tell me exactly the years; but they were being planned, yes.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Elkin. Any further questions? Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MACQUARRIE: Mr. Chairman, if this Assembly approved \$100,000 for a new office and \$200,000 for a hall earlier, and the facility has been built, I would assume that money was spent. What we are being asked to approve here is \$770,000, and it seems that that is additional dollars. Could you clarify that, please?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. MacQuarrie. Mr. Commissioner.

Total Cost Transferred To The Executive

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, I would like to take an opportunity to respond, as chairman of the financial management board, because this project involved the total board as well as the original submission from Local Government. Local Government, as we have been informed, had some \$300,000 allocated for a building or buildings in Fort Good Hope. The community made what we believed to be a good plan. They advanced the plan both to Local Government and directly to the Executive Committee and it was a plan for a kind of complex, community building, which could include a number of facilities, recreational and office facilities, under one roof. We looked at the design and we liked the look of it. We felt that there was a great deal of local enthusiasm and it made sense to us. So, we were advised at that time of the projected total price, and it does not seem to have varied very much, and we were asked to put in, on a special, one time basis, an additional \$470,000 or 400-odd thousand dollars, in any event, in addition to the money which had already been approved by this Legislature, to be spent in Good Hope on facilities like that.

So, we considered their application and at the end of our first quarter of financing under capital projects, we reviewed what projects were advancing and which ones it appeared would not be advancing as quickly as we had anticipated and decided that we had enough room in our total capital budget to permit this project to go ahead on a one year basis, rather than being spread over two years. Therefore, what you are seeing before you today is, indeed, the total cost of the project, at least as it applies to the territorial government. The \$300,000 in Local Government will not be spent. In other words, it is, in effect, being transferred to be spent through the Executive, because of the manner in which the project was handled. So, it is not a case of \$300,000 from Local Government and \$770,000 from the Executive. It is simply \$770,000 as the total project.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MacQUARRIE: Can I ask Mr. Elkin whether the initial commitment of money and the plan to build office and hall, whether that was a response to something from the community of Fort Good Hope, or was that an initiative that was taken by this government and by the Department of Local Government?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Elkin.

MR. ELKIN: In response, very clearly it was directly as a result of requests from the community itself.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MacQUARRIE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Well, in which case, if the community itself decided to change its plans later, I do not think we could be faulted if we had decided that a delay was necessary, then, until that kind of changed plan was approved by the Assembly. This is certainly not directed at Mr. Elkin and Mr. Falconer, but I can simply say -- I suppose it is more directed at the Executive Committee -- that while I wish the people of Fort Good Hope every benefit from their community hall, that I simply do not approve of this way of doing business and for that reason, I will vote against the appropriation.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. MacQuarrie. If I might just say something, I was involved from the start on this project and...

MR. MacQUARRIE: I suspected that.

---Laughter

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): ...it was not the government's idea. Can somebody take the chair? Maybe I can protect this a little bit.

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN (Hon. Dennis Patterson): Mr. Fraser.

MR. FRASER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We had a number of meetings with the local government which concerned the building of a new complex in Fort Good Hope, which the local government was planning to build. There was dire need of a new office complex in Fort Good Hope. The community then came up with a plan that they would build the building, providing the money was turned over to them, which was \$300,000. For \$300,000 you cannot get too much of a building...

MRS. SORENSEN: That shows poor planning.

MR. FRASER: ...but they said that -- would you let me finish -- they said that if the money was turned over to them, that they would do the job and have additional office space in Fort Good Hope.

Project Built By Local Labour

Now, some of us will be going to Good Hope tomorrow and we will see the building. They hired all local help. The logs were all taken out from the bush and hauled in by all the local labour. They hired native construction workers to put up the logs, workers who were trained by the Government of the Northwest Territories and given a course on log building. They were sent in. I believe there was a construction company that had something to do with the wiring and the technical part of the building. Other than that, the building was constructed by all local people. They feel it is their building. They have built it themselves, with a little help, and a little bit of consideration from the Local Government.

Now, for something like that, I cannot see the Members picking holes through it because they do not want to vote for it. Maybe it was finding the money from other sources. It was explained where the \$700,000 came from and everything else, and if you do not want to vote for it, that is your prerogative, but there will be other things coming up in Yellowknife that I might not want to vote for either.

---Laughter

So we will let it go at that.

CHAIRMAN (Hon. Dennis Patterson): Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. In response to Mr. Fraser's comments and to Mr. MacQuarrie's comments, I do not feel that we are talking about the project itself and the value of the project because, certainly, it makes good sense to provide funds for a multi-use building, rather than two buildings that you have to heat and maintain separate of each other. I think there is a principle here, though, that Mr. MacQuarrie was dealing with, and that is that something has gone ahead without the concurrence of the standing committee on finance and without the concurrence of the Legislative Assembly as a whole, something that is not necessarily of an urgent nature, where the Executive Committee, in that case, would obviously have the right to move ahead.

Now, I constantly think in terms of what would an auditor think about a review of this project and what would he say or she say in an audit letter to this House. I would then, Mr. Chairman, direct my question to Mr. Parker, who has the most experience with auditors and the auditing of the territorial government financing. Mr. Parker, what, in your opinion, would an auditor say about the expansion of this project from \$300,000 to \$770,000, without the appropriate vote in this House?

CHAIRMAN (Hon. Dennis Patterson): Mr. Parker.

Expanded Project Authorized Through Financial Management Board

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, I hate to admit to having had a lot of experience with auditors, but I suppose that that is true -- some positive -- but on a serious note, since the project, although not clearly defined in the estimates, was indeed contained in the estimates, and since the authorization for expanding the amount of money was done through due process in the financial management board, and since the funds were identified at the end of what we refer to as the "first outlook" in our capital planning process, I think the auditors would not find any fault with that action. Now, if I could just add to that, it is true that this action that the Executive Committee has taken is rather extraordinary, and it is not the kind of action that we would ordinarily wish to take, but there are occasions when there is a great deal of community fervour and support, when a community is really ready to put its shoulder behind a certain project, and once in a while the Executive must recognize that, and I think it is on those kinds of occasions that the Executive takes what might be regarded as extraordinary action.

CHAIRMAN (Hon. Dennis Patterson): Thank you, Mr. Parker. Mr. Fraser.

MR. FRASER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would just like to make a few further remarks. The building was constructed in about six months. It is now completed. They only started the building last spring. The Legislative Assembly was not sitting during the summer, and we have a financial management board, we have an Executive Committee who we put in there. They have to make some decisions for themselves, and they decided that the building had to go up, and we were not going to wait. If we wait, it will be another year, maybe two years. Now that the building is completed, and it is going to be in use shortly, I think it could benefit the Legislative Assembly, whether it was done under the table or not, I do not know. I do not think it was, myself, because if the...

---Laughter

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: You were going on all right for a while.

---Laughter

MR. FRASER: Anyway, Mr. Elkin, Mr. Wah-Shee, and myself had numerous meetings with Fort Good Hope, and we had to make some arrangements to accommodate them. There were other communities that have had the same privileges, and nothing was said about it. So I think some of us will see the building tomorrow, then maybe we can come back and discuss it further. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN (Hon. Dennis Patterson): Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MacQUARRIE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yes. I do not back away when threats are made. If there are projects in Yellowknife, we will defend them on the basis of their need, and I hope that all Members would vote on them in that way. My action here today is not in any way to indicate that I do not approve of such a project for Fort Good Hope. I think it is a good project, and I am very pleased to hear that people there were enthusiastic for it, and did a lot on their own in order to bring it about. That is very good, and I do not fault them in any way for trying to get it done as quickly as possible; but I will vote against it, simply as a protest against business being done that way. I do not think it is right, and that is the only means that I have at my disposal of indicating that as strongly as possible.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. MacQuarrie. Page 11 and 12. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: I believe that we are still awaiting some information that Mr. Curley requested, so I do not know how we can agree to these two pages before we have obtained that information.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): That is true, Mrs. Sorensen. Thank you. Mr. Pilot, can you tell us when this information will be available for Mr. Curley?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Mr. Chairman, I will have to contact the five regional directors, and then compile the information as it comes in from them. I would anticipate that by Wednesday of next week.

Capital, Executive Committee Secretariat, Regional Operations Secretariat,  
Set Aside

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Is it the wish, then, that we set this aside until the information is given?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: All we need do is not vote the final book, I do not know what the process is, but we can continue with the supplementaries today.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Page 13, then. We will go into Personnel. Could we excuse the witnesses, then? Is it agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Elkin and Mr. Falconer, thank you very much for your kind remarks.

---Laughter

Department Of Personnel

Capital, Systems And Administration, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 13, Personnel, systems and administration, \$34,000. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: There are no comments.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): No comments. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Justice And Public Services

Capital, Mining Inspection, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 14, Justice and Public Services, mining inspection, \$60,000. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: No comments.

AN (Mr. Fraser): No comments. Agreed?

E HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Museums/Heritage Division

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 14, \$627,000, museums/heritage division. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, the standing committee on finance was shocked upon learning that there were serious problems with the structure of the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre. As Members will notice, the territorial government is requesting the Legislature to approve an expenditure of some \$627,000 to -- it sounds like -- begin the process of remedying these problems. Your committee, upon discussion of this area, requested whether the Government of the Northwest Territories had recourse to the contractor and/or the architect with respect to the damage. The response that we received from Mr. Pilot was as follows, and I am quoting:

"The problems involved in the structural shortcomings of the Heritage Centre are complex. While both design and construction errors have been identified, they have also been compounded by failure of the Government of the Northwest Territories to clearly define the required conditions in terms of temperature and humidity required within the building, by retaining a southern consultant with no experience in construction in the Arctic, by changing consultants halfway through the project, and by operating the building in a manner different from that intended in the design. With that background, there would appear to be little hope of recovering anything from the consultants and contractors."

Mr. Chairman, your committee strongly recommends that the Legislature request that Mr. Pilot and Mr. Parker, both of whom have been involved with the construction of the museum, answer questions that Members may have concerning this project. Your committee is of the opinion that this is of sufficient importance as to require a very detailed review.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Pilot. Mr. Braden.

Corrective Work Authorized As Emergency

HON. GEORGE BRADEN: My mike is not on. Thank you. Mr. Chairman, this expenditure was required because of problems in one part of the structure of the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre. I must admit that I do not know all the technical aspects of it, but perhaps they can be provided in some detail by Mr. Pilot. However, it was indicated to me early on this summer that there were major problems in one part of the building, and that if we did not take some action this summer, significant damage would be done to one part of the building. Therefore, I endeavoured to see if there was some capital money available to undertake this particular project. There was some available and I determined that it was within our authority to allocate capital dollars for this purpose, as it was identified to be of an emergency nature. I say "emergency nature" in that if we did not seek to correct the problem this summer, we would have a worse problem next year. So, on that basis, Mr. Chairman, I recommended, to the financial management board that we undertake to correct the problem in the one section of the Heritage Centre, and as I understand it, that work is almost completed at this point in time. It was necessary, Mr. Chairman, to seek advance spending authority, I believe that is the term, because we felt it was necessary to proceed in the summer as quickly



as possible. The work, as I understand it, involved removing some sections of the roof, and we wanted to get that work started and completed before late in the summer when it started to rain and get wet, as this would have caused further damage inside that portion of the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre where the work had to be done.

With respect to the concern of the committee, I cannot really speak for what took place with respect to the consultant, although I understand that the consultant was changed halfway through the project, and that the Department of Public Works took over, which is why the remaining part of the building is up to sufficient standards and does not require corrective work. I cannot really report too much further by way of background. I do not know, Mr. Chairman, perhaps Mr. Pilot could add, if he feels it is necessary, anything to the remarks that I have made.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Braden. Mr. Pilot, do you care to elaborate on the question?

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: If there is any further detail on the specific problem, I do have some background knowledge and would be pleased to elaborate on it, if it is necessary.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Do you want to proceed, then? Ms Cournoyea, you have a question.

MS COURNOYEA: Yes, I would like to have more information on that, since it is suggested that the contractor at one point was removed and the Department of Public Works took over the project. The problem areas that are presently in question, what part of the project was that? Was that the part of the project that was conducted by the Department of Public Works, or by the previous contractor? And if in fact it was by the previous contractor, why did the Department of Public Works not correct the situation that they were apprized of before they continued the project?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Ms Cournoyea. Mr. Pilot.

#### Inadequate Insulation Caused Moisture Problem

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The museum, or the Heritage Centre, was designed in two phases. The first phase was under a southern consultant, and the second phase, the phase we referred to as being taken over by the Department of Public Works, was the phase that we are having absolutely no problems in. The problem is in the first phase, the phase under the consultant that we had from southern Canada. The problem is that the humidity that is required in a museum, or in a heritage centre such as we have here, creates a high moisture infiltration, and special equipment is required to ensure that the moisture level is not at a degree that will create any damage to the artifacts or the building itself. The second phase, that under the control of our Department of Public Works, is properly controlled as far as humidity is concerned. Unfortunately, that control created a problem in the first phase of the building, in that seepage of the moisture went up into the insulation. The insulation in the ceiling, or in the roof, is not sufficient to prevent any frost build-up, and in the spring of the year, when the temperatures get warm, the frost melts and creates a moisture problem in the main part of the building, or in the phase one part of the building.

If you visited the museum last year and the year before, any time during the spring and fall of the year, you found buckets and pails sitting all around the exhibitions, the paintings, and the artifacts collecting the moisture that was dripping from the ceiling. The project this year was to remove the entire roof, the outer skin, place in additional insulation, and then return the roofing material to the outer area of the building. This was completed without

any difficulty. The reports indicate that at the present time there is no build-up of frost or moisture content in the main area of the museum. There was also a problem with water seepage into some of the side areas of the building around the windows. This has now been fixed and we also have a new type of flashing and water-collecting facility added to the outside of the building. Over the auditorium where the roof had a bad leaking problem an extension was provided which would have been equal to removing the roof and repairing it. This added a new exhibition area to the museum without any real additional cost, you might say, because the anticipated costs of repairing that section of the roof and placing the addition were almost equal. I can provide detailed information on that, if so required.

So the indicators I have from our Department of Public Works are that the situation has been satisfactorily corrected. We do not anticipate any further problems as far as moisture infiltration is concerned.

However, we do have one problem with a number of windows mysteriously breaking within the structure. It is not from children throwing stones, it is from some form of compression within the building that has not been properly identified. To date, the supplier of the windows, of the glass, has come to Yellowknife and investigated the situation. He has replaced the glass at his expense, and will continue to do so until he has discovered the true problem of why they are breaking. We will be making a recommendation, perhaps, to the Department of Justice and Public Services next year as to a total remedy to the problem.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Pilot. Mr. Appaqaq.

MR. APPAQAQ: (Translation) I have a question. Are these moneys allocated to one community or are these moneys...

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): I do not have any translation here. Okay. Carry on.

MR. APPAQAQ: (Translation) I wanted to ask a question. The first question is: Is this money allocated to one community or is it allocated to different communities, concerning museums?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Appaqaq. Mr. Braden.

HON. GEORGE BRADEN: Mr. Chairman, this money is allocated to one community, Yellowknife, in order to repair part of the Prince of Wales Northern Heritage Centre. We have a program in the department for the allocation of grants to community museums.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Appaqaq, supplementary.

MR. APPAQAQ: (Translation) Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I am concerned that these moneys are allocated to one community. I have thought that the communities, even though they are not very populated, should be able to have -- I was thinking that it would be... (No translation)

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): We lost part of that transmission. We lost the last part of the transmission. I do not know if anybody got it. I did not get it.

MR. MacQUARRIE: No, we did not get it. She is working on it.

MR. APPAQAQ: (No translation)

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): I believe, Mr. Appaqaq, that the Minister, Mr. Braden, mentioned that there is money available for this type of work on museums, and it is shown in the budget. This money we are talking about here is just for Yellowknife, additional money for this one here in Yellowknife, but there is money available in this budget for other small communities. Mr. Appaqaq.

MR. APPAQAQ: (No translation)

(Translation) ...money for museums in the communities. There used to be one in our community in 1979, but the reason why they are no longer in operation is because of the budget. I think it is very important that the communities have museums. Thank you very much for the information, anyway.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Appaqaq. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, my question I guess would be for Mr. Parker, since he was on the Executive Committee when the museum was being built. Mr. Parker, did the Department of Public Works review the first phase and make any recommendation concerning, in the first instance, whether the first phase should go ahead as the architect and consultant was recommending? Then, once a decision had been made to go ahead, as it was, obviously, did the Department of Public Works become involved then with saying or recommending to the Executive Committee whether, in fact, the second phase should go ahead given, perhaps, the problems that already were identified in the first phase?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Pilot. Mr. Commissioner.

Increased Insulation Required To Control Humidity

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, the problems that became apparent in the first phase of the building were not immediately apparent and I would just like to expand a bit on Mr. Pilot's remarks in that regard. In any building it is a judgment of the architect as to the degree of insulation that he feels is necessary. We have all gone through the stages of realizing that when there were low oil prices there was a certain amount of insulation used and now that oil is very expensive, there is a great push to put in more insulation for more efficiency.

When the first phase was started, the design that the architect called for was reviewed by our people in Public Works and they thought it was adequate. There is another factor that has to be considered and the other factor is this -- and Mr. Pilot alluded to it -- in a museum it is necessary to control the level of humidity, in order to preserve the artifacts and in order to have a certain level of humidity. This is very difficult to maintain in really cold climates, unless you have very, very heavy insulation and very tight building design. To make a long story short, the first section of the museum turned out to be deficient in the insulating value, but there was not all that much experience available at that time in building of museums in the kind of temperatures that we enjoy here for parts of the year and there was simply an underestimation made. Unfortunately, it is one of those expensive lessons, but it was a lesson that we had learned by the time we were working on the second phase of it. Actually, it was more or less continuous. We simply called for a heavier level of insulation and some refinements in the design process.

So, there were not gross errors made the first time around, but it becomes expensive when you have to take the roof off and add a new vapour barrier and, simply, more insulation. So, I would like to underline that they were not gross errors, by any means, but in order to maintain the humidity and temperature throughout the building to keep the artifacts safe, we have now learned that a very heavy level of insulation is essential. Now, these corrections have been made and we do not anticipate any further problems with the building.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Ms Cournoyea.

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Chairman, I am a bit confused on this, because the indication was the first phase was the problem. I am wondering, were you building that from the roof down? You know, all the problems that you indicate that you had difficulty with, were in the vapour barriers on the roof and that is a common occurrence, it is not an unusual thing, but could you tell me what the first phase was?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: I am sorry, Mr. Chairman. Perhaps we should have clarified that. What we refer to as the first phase of the building is the first part that was constructed, which is the east end. It consisted of that semicircular auditorium and the whole east end of the building, with the round roof, and then the first section with the pitched roof. The second phase, you could call it an addition, but it was there in the original concept, in the original design; it is the westerly end with the round roof. The only reason that they became phases one and two was because we were not able to assemble enough money to do the whole project at once.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Ms Cournoyea.

MS COURNOYEA: So, it is apparent then, that we would not have any recourse to claim from the original contractor, because the construction phase and the way it was to be constructed was approved by the Department of Public Works?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Commissioner.

Original Contractor Not At Fault

COMMISSIONER PARKER: That is correct. I think that we should be careful here to identify the fact that I do not think that we have any problem with the contractor, but the problem was in the design of the building. What I said earlier was that the design of museums and the specifications for museums in northern climates did not seem to be that well known. I am not really defending the architect, but he did have a problem there. The problem was not with the contractor. The contractor fulfilled the specifications of the contract.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, I am still trying to get the involvement of the Department of Public Works clear in my mind and I will re-ask the question of Mr. Parker. Did the Department of Works ever recommend to the Executive Committee that it should not proceed with the project, whether that was phase one or phase two -- I believe we are into phase two now -- that it should not proceed with the project because of their anticipation of the problems that might result in the future, and did they ever bring to your attention the problems that might result in the future, which have now resulted?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, I do not believe that the Department of Public Works officials anticipated the problems which have occurred. The only questions that Public Works raised with the Executive Committee of the day were ones of funding. Members will have to recall that this project did not become popular until later years when the public has obviously indicated its interest in the building and in having a heritage centre. So, we were operating on a very, very tight budget and we instructed our Public Works to produce an acceptable building for the minimum price, and whether they cut corners or not, I could not say. I do not think that they did -- they certainly did not have the intention of producing a building, the first part of which was inadequately insulated, but there was always the question of inadequate funds.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Well, I do not understand at what point, then, did you identify a problem with phase one, because in Mr. Pilot's response to us he says that the consultant was changed halfway through the project. Now, was that because you identified a problem with phase one, or were there other reasons that you changed the consultant? That has not been made clear.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, the architect was not changed because of anticipated or realized problems with the insulation of the first phase. The architect was changed because we were experiencing some difficulty in getting the production drawings on time and we were commencing to find some difficulties with the design of the mechanical section, if I remember correctly, and the relationship between our officers who had to make the plans work, to vet the plans, it got to the point where they just disagreed with the performance of the architect and we did not continue with that same architect. His original concept was carried through and I would never, ever take anything away from his architectural ability from the standpoint of conceiving the building, but in the actual carrying out of the duties and the production of drawings, there seemed to be some difficulties.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Mr. Curley.

MR. CURLEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think I, too, would probably be concerned with the kind of expenditure that has been spent if that kind of monument was in my constituency, but now that it is there I would hope that my constituents would be making use of that institution there and I certainly hope that it is being used, because it is one of the only museums that we have in the whole Territories. Now that it is there, I think the message should be to fix it and fix it right.

Those who want to study all the mistakes that the Department of Public Works has ever done with respect to the buildings and so on, they should do so not only with one building, but the whole Territories, if they are so interested in the problems that the Department of Public Works normally creates for our regions. That is only one building, but my message would be, now that this monument is there -- and I think it is serving the people of Yellowknife very well, and the tours that come through Yellowknife -- let us get it fixed and fix it right, so that we will not have to ever spend any more of that kind of money, \$600,000 in additional funds required. My message would be, if you can get it fixed properly with less than \$600,000, try, but I do not think we are going to get anywhere with finding some can of worms that the architects and the Commissioner and his officials within the Department of Public Works have created. But simply, now that it is -- I would hope that we do get it properly fixed and that we would not have to review that kind of a supplementary estimate any more. That is all I want to say. Thank you.

Capital, Museums/Heritage Division, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Page 14, \$627,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Government Services

Capital, Directorate, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 15, Government Services, \$5000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Systems And Computer Services, Agreed

Systems and computer services, \$689,000. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I am sure that the Minister will speak to the requirement for a computer building. Your committee inquired as to the proposed site and learned it was to be right next to the Laing Building itself. We inquired whether there were any plans to have parking contained in the building, and the response was negative. The reason that we were concerned somewhat about the parking was that the area that is being used is now a parking area. The Department of Public Works indicated that there were other lots that now belong to the government in the downtown core that could be opened up for parking on an interim basis. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Page 15, \$689,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Supply Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Supply services, a surplus of \$8000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Petroleum Products, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Petroleum products, \$45,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Energy Conservation

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Energy conservation, \$265,900. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: We have requested, Mr. Chairman, a breakdown of the projects planned, under way, or completed, using the \$265,900. I believe we are still awaiting a reply from Government Services on that.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Is it agreed, then, that we just wait? We will not pass the total bill until we get this information. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Public Works

Capital, Directorate, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 17, Public Works, directorate, \$53,000. Mrs. Sorensen. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Architectural Services

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Architectural services, \$2,175,000 to construct a community complex in Pelly Bay. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. A letter has been sent requesting further information on the Pelly Bay complex, and we are awaiting a response on that. I believe Mr. Pilot probably could respond now to the project plans and the complex itself.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Pilot, do you care to respond?

#### Pelly Bay Community Complex

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The Pelly Bay complex goes back some time in history in this Assembly. It was first in the capital plan of 1978-79 in the amount of \$1.5 million. If you recall, Mr. Chairman, the Executive Committee of the day had set up a co-ordinator of community complexes, and had, as one of its projects the Pelly Bay complex. When the total program was examined and put down on paper for the Executive Committee to review, it was discovered that the project would have a dollar value of \$5.1 million. It was at that point that the chairman of the Executive Committee asked myself to review the project and to meet with the people of Pelly Bay and to bring some semblance of reality to what we were going to do in that community. Therefore, there was a delay in the program and the funding was deferred. It appeared the following year in 1979-80 as a \$1.5 million project, consultation taking place with the community to develop exactly what was needed in a complex for that community. It was decided that the community hamlet offices, which were in the planning stage in local government, should be included, and that a recreation facility, exactly what the community had originally asked for should also be in the facility. Then the location of it should be close to the schools so that the school children could make active use of the building.

#### Funding Deferred

Due to the reconsideration of the complex, the funding was deferred one more time, in 1979-80. In the capital planning process last year it was dropped out on an error, and it was not recognized by myself, at the time, that it had been dropped out. However, the process had already taken place, and the consultant had been retained. The building was being designed, and it was not realized until we had actually gone to tender this spring that the funds had not been put into the appropriation in the spring. Therefore, I requested of the financial management board, that in review of the history of the complex, that it should be placed back in the appropriations as the expectations of the community had been raised, and it was known that Pelly Bay was one of those communities that could not, in itself, provide funding for a community complex. So therefore, by adding the gym or the recreation portion so that it could be used by the school as a gym, and the hamlet office and hamlet council chambers, the facility had become much more realistic, and it mirrored very closely what we did in Broughton Island, and what we have done in other communities around the North for the very small communities that cannot, themselves, provide the recreation facilities.

So, Mr. Chairman, the funding was identified, and was voted, and actually, this should have, to all intents and purposes, been a revote of funding. However, through an error on my own part, it was dropped out.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Pilot, and we are still awaiting some information, I understand, then, Mrs. Sorensen. We are waiting for some more information at a later date. Thank you.

#### Capital, Operations

Operations, \$939,300 to provide additional funds for a new office building for the Legislative Assembly. Mr. Curley.

### Office Space For MLAs

MR. CURLEY: Mr. Chairman, I welcome that additional expenditure, because we realize that the staff of the Assembly has been quite short of space, and having said that, I would like to ask the Members for Yellowknife whether or not they have ever considered if they are possibly taking some of the space which could be used by the Assembly staff, because no doubt there are offices available in Yellowknife in the private sector which could be used by the local MLAs. The reason I am saying that is that some of us in the Eastern Arctic do not have government offices provided for us, and I believe it is not always fair to be hanging around, daily, and having to pass by non-partisan, or whatever, or non-politically involved staff of the Assembly.

I am just trying to find a way of trying to resolve this thing, because it has been questioned before whether or not Members from the Yellowknife centre should actually mingle with the Assembly staff day after day. I would think that the kind of space that you have been using for the last year and a half could probably be better used by the staff of the Legislative Assembly. I just put that in as part of the effort to try and maximize the staff facilities without having to go through a major expenditure if at all possible. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Curley. Mrs. Sorensen, do you have anything on this? Are we going to get new rugs in this place?

---Laughter

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, I guess I will have to respond to the honourable Member's comments about Yellowknife Members again. This is kind of old hat. We have been through this time and time again.

Number one, I know for a fact that Mr. Braden has offered to all Members, space in the region for MLAs within government buildings. Mr. Braden went out of his way to do that after the last budget session. I am not sure whether any regional MLAs have taken him up on his offer, but certainly I know for a fact that that offer is outstanding. Now, with respect to whether I could afford to purchase office space on the private market, perhaps I could have, had Mr. Tagak Curley not moved within caucus to reduce the amount of constituency expenses that the Yellowknife Members were asking for last year when a review was taken of all MLAs constituency expenses. Perhaps if he had not moved with his colleagues to reduce our expenses, we would have been able to purchase such on the market, but you must remember that office space in Yellowknife is around anywhere from \$12 to \$20 per square foot. We do not have the same advantages as the communities where the government is more lax about giving free space. It is at a premium in terms of price in Yellowknife.

### Space For Committee Members Justified In Legislative Assembly Offices

The other thing about the work that I do, I do spend a fair amount of time working on the finance committee work, and that means that I must be in close contact with the secretary to that committee, Mr. Hamilton, nearly on a daily basis. I know that you are not on the committee, Mr. Curley, but I think other Members of the committee will back me up when I say that there is a fair amount of correspondence and a fair amount of work with respect to research that we are doing on behalf of the Legislative Assembly, so that we can be much better organized about the financial affairs of this government. So I think that it is quite justified that I personally do have space within the Legislative Assembly offices. The other thing is, I think that we are back to the old comments that have been made here before, trying to tear things down to make things equally bad. I think that it is atrocious that MLAs in the regions do not have access, if it is true what you are saying, to government offices. I think it is atrocious. I do not understand why you would attempt to try to



take away what at least I have been able to achieve, to make it equally bad for me, instead of demanding of Mr. Braden to honour his agreement during the last budget session to give you space. You know, I really cannot add much more, except to say I think that I have tried to be available to my constituents. I think that it is a fair thing for me to be within the Legislative Assembly offices, and I will not be put down for working very hard for my constituents on a daily basis.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Curley.

Office Facilities For MLAs Separate From Assembly Staff

MR. CURLEY: Mr. Chairman, I realize, and I recognize, and I do know that Lynda Sorensen works very hard, Lynda Sorensen tries very hard to have a profile that can be supported and accepted by all the MLAs if at all possible, but what I am saying to you is that there is something that you Members have not looked at. I am not trying to put her down. There are sometimes some sensitive political things that could not and should not be shared with some of the staff of the Legislative Assembly, or when some members of the staff are working on a certain area, whether it be a project or whatnot, or special assignment, sometimes it is good that not all politicians know exactly the details of the work the person is doing. I would even want to improve where these kinds of people are working. Maybe they should be provided with office facilities where the politicians are, on the sixth floor of the Laing Building. That is where they would be in an appropriate place, because members of the staff of the Legislative Assembly have to be neutral. They should not be directed to aggressive Members of the Assembly only, and them alone. That is what I am trying to point out.

I am not trying to take away the work that the special committee chairmen are doing on the Assembly at all. But should Members of the Assembly do their constituency work and be provided with the offices directly with the Assembly staff? Or would it be more appropriate to have them somewhere provided or subsidized by the government, somewhere other than where the daily staff members are doing a lot of other things, and so on. That is what I am trying to say. Have we really thought that question thoroughly, or should we just go on and accept that we cannot share the facilities equally, so we are just going to make it attractive to more convenient Members of the Assembly and to the Assembly facilities? That is what I am trying to point out. I am not trying to say to you that we have bad conditions and we want you to share that. Not at all. I would even write the property owners in Yellowknife to see whether or not they could provide or subsidize some of the MLAs' facilities. You know, some may want to share facilities other than the government agencies. I do not know, but I am trying to find a way of resolving this sometimes uncomfortable atmosphere which develops in that office. I no longer go there as much as I should. I do not know why, but I just know that there are not any spaces for me to sit down when I want to, and so I do not use that office any more, because it does not seem to become a place for MLAs from other regions. So is there a way that we can finally resolve this potential difficulty? Thank you.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Curley. After the break, Mr. MacQuarrie; 15 minutes for coffee. Thank you.

---SHORT RECESS

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): We have a quorum. Can we get the witness back inside the ropes? Thank you. I have Mr. MacQuarrie, then Ms Cournoyea. Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MacQUARRIE: Yes, Mr. Chairman. With respect to the matter that was raised about accommodation for MLAs, certainly there are two principles involved in that discussion that I can easily agree to and one of them is that Yellowknife MLAs should not be treated any better than other MLAs and that no MLAs, as a result of associations with staff, should be privy to information that those staff members have in confidence as a result of their dealing with other Members of the Legislative Assembly.

#### Proximity To Assembly Staff

With respect to that second matter, I suppose it might seem to somebody far away that because we are in proximity with the staff that we may have access to that kind of information, but I can only assure the Member for Keewatin South and others that that is not the case. We are not ever given information that we should not be given. As a matter of fact, I remember two weeks ago when the impact report was in the office, I nearly had my eyes scratched out just for looking at the title on the cover. They were guarding it very jealously. They were told that it was not to be released until such and such a date and that was all there was to it.

In that connection as well, I can also say that at no time, as far as I am concerned, do staff members ever do anything for me, as an MLA from Yellowknife. My typing and that sort of thing, I look after that myself. I either type it myself or get someone outside of that office to do it and pay them for it. So, they are not used as servants for Yellowknife Members of the Legislative Assembly. Still, the principle that is involved in that closeness is a legitimate one, but I could only point out that in other jurisdictions where they have assembly houses, there are offices for Members there, so as Members are in close proximity to the staff, but that does not mean that there is any breach of confidence at all.

With respect to the space that I occupy now, if it is felt by the Assembly that the space I have is very precious, that is, the space in the middle of the floor with a couple of screens around it...

---Laughter

...I think I could be persuaded to give it up, although, like Mrs. Sorensen, I feel that rather than any Members giving up something that we have, we maybe should all try to get something that is a little better. That leads to this appropriation, which is to get a building which would house the Assembly staff, but also have sort of an open area with cubicles that can be used by all Members. Then, certainly, Yellowknife Members would not have any accommodation that was any better or any worse than any other Members.

I suppose the principle that Mr. Curley raised does have to be addressed right now, because if it is not desirable at all to have MLAs in that close proximity to the staff, then maybe the appropriation should not be voted, because, in fact, that is what will happen in the building that is proposed, but for my part, I think that that close proximity does not involve any breach of confidence at all and that we can support the appropriation.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. MacQuarrie. Ms Cournoyea.

#### Providing Accommodation For Senior Ranks

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Chairman, it was my understanding that the Northwest Territories government was getting out of providing staff housing for government employees in major centres like Yellowknife. I see an appropriation of \$135,000 for a senior officer, housing accommodation in Yellowknife, and I am wondering why we are running contrary to stated policy.

MR. MacQUARRIE: Hear, hear!

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Ms Cournoyea. Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, our policy is to provide housing for the senior ranks, that is, deputy ministers and directors. Not all of them avail themselves of the housing, but we do make that as an offer. The rents for that housing, of course, under the new policy will gradually be raised to the point where it will be a straight economic rent.

We have, over the past several years, purchased some houses and sold some houses. We have a very considerable amount of real estate in Yellowknife, as we do in other communities, and we do our level best to manage it in the best interests of the government. In this case we were short one house when we had made a move of senior staff and so we purchased one, but over the last two or three years we have also sold a number of houses. So, it is just part of the total number that we maintain.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Ms Cournoyea, supplementary.

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Commissioner, the individual occupying the house, is he a director and why was it not possible to rent accommodation then, rather than purchasing?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, the individual who is currently occupying this house is at the deputy minister level. We looked at the rental market, but decided that it was an opportune time to buy and that the government's money would best be spent as owners in this situation, rather than renters. We have maintained over the years, as you know, a certain number of houses that have been available to deputy ministers and we have sold two or three of them because we felt that either the land was needed by developers and we sold them at good market price or else we wished to dispose of the houses. So, it is just part of the process of buying and selling.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Ms Cournoyea.

Differentiation Between Directors And Deputy Ministers

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Commissioner, you stated that you try to retain houses for deputy ministers and directors, and I asked you the question whether he was a director, so it indicates from your response that people who are at the director levels -- status -- you are including that as part of your criteria for buying homes and if you are doing that, how do you rank a deputy minister level?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, for the purpose of our policy we do not make a differentiation between directors and deputy ministers. I think you realize that we moved over to the use of the term "deputy minister" as soon as we achieved ministerial government, but we have a number of people who do not report at the moment to Ministers, and their titles, by and large, have stayed as "director". In two instances we have people who are at the deputy minister level, who are secretaries to senior committees. One, of course, is the secretary to the Executive Committee and that is the proper title, but in fact, it is at the deputy minister level, and the other is the secretary to the energy and resource development secretariat.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Ms Cournoyea.

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Chairman, I, certainly myself, I have no objection for providing housing for a certain level of staff, because of the way they are hired. However, I would suggest that perhaps the department should indicate to this Legislative Assembly and as part of government policy just how many of these people we are going to accommodate. My understanding, when you talk about deputy ministers and directors, they are exactly that. They are not people who happen to be at that level, close to that level, or moving up to that level. So when the policy came out I had numbers in my mind as to who was going to be provided accommodation, but it surprises me that we have to buy a \$135,000 home when, perhaps, private industry might be able to build that house and rent it, and support the private industry in that manner.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER PARKER: If I could answer the last part first, Mr. Chairman, the rental market in Yellowknife is fairly thin at the present time and looking at rents and knowing that we have what we see as a long-term requirement, we decided to purchase rather than to pay rent.

With regard to designation as to who is at what level, I do not want to confuse Members. We have a certain number of deputy ministers. We have two directors, the director of Personnel and the director of Information, and we have three persons who are secretaries to our senior committees. The secretary to the financial management secretariat happens also to be called the deputy minister of Finance, so that position has two titles. I outlined in my previous remarks who the other two senior secretaries were. We have chosen the name "secretary" in that instance because it describes the function better than "deputy minister", but there are no more beyond the ones I have named.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. We have \$939,300, operations. Mr. MacQuarrie.

#### Eligibility For Government Housing

MR. MacQUARRIE: Mr. Chairman, I did not approve and do not approve of moving out of housing at this time, which is the worst of all possible times, but the Executive Committee was determined to do it and so I, in a letter earlier this summer, asked for clarification as to just who would still be eligible for government housing. I could see and still see very good reason for us keeping accommodation for Ministers who live in other parts of the Territories and come to Yellowknife in order to provide a valuable service to this government. I wanted clarification, though, just to see whether or not we would keep housing for others, as well as Ministers, and the response I received was that we would be keeping it for deputy ministers and directors as well.

In a subsequent letter I challenged that, because I could then and still can see no justification, other than a kind of elitism, for distinguishing between deputy ministers and directors and others of our employees who are much less well paid and who are thrown out on to the market and have to find their own places. I was told in a return letter that it was not elitism that provided justification for that policy but, rather, that in the future, people at that level would be considered to be serving at pleasure as deputy ministers do in other jurisdictions. Now, what that means to me, presumably, is that people at this level will not have the same protection from the -- well rather, that they will be subject to the will of the Minister or the Executive Committee as a whole as to when and how long they will hold their jobs. Now, I find that acceptable reasoning if that is, in fact, the case, that they are holding their jobs at pleasure -- then maybe we ought to have accommodation for them.

I was going to ask the question later, but I will ask it right now. Will the Executive Committee point me to some document where I see that that is the written policy of this government that deputy ministers, directors and the two secretaries mentioned hold their jobs at pleasure? If they cannot point me to that document right now, can they indicate for the benefit of all Members here what steps are being taken to make that a firm and written policy of this government?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Commissioner.

Degrees Of Holding A Position At Pleasure

COMMISSIONER PARKER: Mr. Chairman, we do not have a document such as the Member has suggested. However, to an increasing extent that is indeed the policy of the Executive Committee. When I say "an increasing extent", there are degrees of holding a position at pleasure. The extent to which we believe that it should take place is that the deputy, being a senior manager, is liable to be transferred from one department to another and in some instances from a regional directorship to headquarters or from headquarters directorship or deputy ministership to the regions. This would not be done at political whim but it would be done in order to provide an effective public service. So to that extent, indeed it is the policy that the senior level officers are serving at pleasure. There is no question that they do not have the same level of protection that exists for those members that are members of the Public Service Association, for instance, but by the same token, seeing that they are senior people who, in most every instance, have had many years of experience with the government and bring to us this experience and managerial capability, we would never, or course, frivolously cancel their appointments. But I must underline that, in fact, they are serving at pleasure, and I think that on the Member's point that perhaps this should be recorded as a policy and described rather carefully, and I would seek the direction of my colleagues on the Executive Committee in this matter.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Mr. MacQuarrie, supplementary.

MR. MACQUARRIE: Yes, that is not quite the way that I understood "at pleasure", but certainly if the Executive Committee is going to move on that, I would agree with it, but also they should inform the senior employees that that is the case as well.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Operations, \$939,300. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. At the top of page 18 Members will notice that there is an item concerning the Fox Moth. The standing committee requested an explanation of what the Fox Moth was and the following response was received: "The Fox Moth was an aircraft type used extensively in the Arctic in the early 1940s and the aircraft presently being restored is intended to eventually be exhibited at the Heritage Centre as symbolic of the history of the early northern aviation. The plane presently being restored at St. Albert, Alberta, in fact consists of parts recovered several years ago from three or more plane wrecks, although it will be based on Max Ward's registered FMCS4. The project was initiated in 1978 and expenditures against a total estimated cost of \$40,000 so far amounts to \$17,050. The project is estimated to last another three to four years, although the Department of Public Works is attempting to speed it up." Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Capital, Operations, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Public Works, operations, \$939,300. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 18, Mrs. Sorensen.

Capital, Repair And Upkeep Of Buildings And Works

MRS. SORENSEN: Under repair and upkeep of buildings and works, \$1,219,000, at the bottom of page 18, the committee requested further information on the use of mobile homes instead of building regular houses in Rankin Inlet. The response

was as follows: "Although regular accommodations would have been more desirable, the more expedient solution of using mobile homes was chosen in this instance because of severe housing shortage in Rankin Inlet and an immediate need to provide accommodations over an extended period of time for a number of imported tradesmen. Using the existing hotel accommodation, which is also scarce, would have meant an unacceptable increase in costs for the project being undertaken. The later transfer and conversion of the trailers into housing units is a side benefit and should be considered an addition to new housing which the community otherwise would receive."

Mr. Chairman, the committee also was given the information that the four mobile homes are winterized northern mobile trailers. They are not the usual type of trailer that we see in Yellowknife, but they are winterized, specially made trailers.

Capital, Accommodations, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Accommodations, \$113,900. We are dealing with accommodations. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Nothing to report.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Nothing to report. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Repair And Upkeep Of Buildings And Works, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Repair and upkeep of buildings, \$1,219,000. That is the one that Mrs. Sorensen just explained. Any further questions? Mr. Curley.

MR. CURLEY: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I have a question with respect to that item. When the government talks about a number of tradesmen to be housed from the South, could Mr. Pilot maybe explain to me exactly what types of tradesmen he is talking about? I would think that this is the kind of thing that I am concerned with, work that could be carried out by the local contractors. The government prefers bringing tradesmen from the South and therefore effectively takes away opportunity for the construction and small businessmen to provide services to the government. Could you maybe be very specific as to what kinds of tradesmen these people are?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Curley. Mr. Pilot.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Mr. Chairman, the request for these trailers was made specifically by the regional director at Rankin Inlet and his justification and indication was, at that time, that the trailers were required for tradesmen that were going to be working on the retrofit program in Rankin Inlet and in the Keewatin region. What specific tradesmen the regional director was referring to, I do not have at hand but I would be pleased to obtain that for the Member.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Pilot. Anything further on repair and upkeep of buildings and works at \$1,219,000? Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Operation And Repair Of Equipment, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Now we will turn to page 19, operation and repair of equipment, a surplus of \$88,000. I think, Mrs. Sorensen, maybe to speed this up -- all Members have copies of the report. Maybe if they cannot read, we can read it out to them but I think if they can, we will just carry on here. Operation and repair of equipment. Agreed?

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, the reason that I am reading these answers out is because our report has not been translated and in consideration for Mr. Appaqaq who does not read English, I have been reading out the answers.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Carry on.

MRS. SORENSEN: With respect to the delay in the installation of the power plant in Sanikiluaq, your committee requested further information on the delay and the response was that the delay in the power plant was caused by a change in the scope of the project. The plant is now being designed to NCPC standards anticipating that the corporation eventually will take over the facility. The project is expected to be tendered in March of 1982 and the Department of Public Works may request advance spending authority to purchase the generating equipment this fiscal year at an estimated cost of \$200,000. The administration assured us that Mr. Appaqaq had been advised of the delay and agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Regional Administration, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 20, \$26,300, regional administration, Public Works, capital. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Highways

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Highways, \$450,700. Agreed? Mr. Curley.

MR. CURLEY: Mr. Chairman, I had a question on page 19. Could we go back there briefly to that?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 19 on repair and upkeep of buildings and works?

MR. CURLEY: Yes.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Revert To Capital, Repair And Upkeep Of Buildings And Works

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed. Go ahead.

MR. CURLEY: Mr. Chairman, that is with respect to revoke funds for a parking garage in Rankin Inlet voted in 1980-81 but not completed, \$50,000. My understanding is that the government had at one time, not in written form, agreed to make use of the private garage in Rankin Inlet but then had backed out of it. I wonder whether or not this particular item is designed to make use of that private arrangement or not or whether it is government property.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Pilot. On page 19 about half way down.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Mr. Chairman, the funding in this particular instance is a revote. The project was started in 1980-81. It was not completed. Funds were available and were brought forward into the 1981-82 fiscal year so it has nothing to do with the private arrangement or utilization of private parking facilities in Rankin Inlet but to finish the government garage.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. Curley.

MR. CURLEY: No thanks.

Capital, Highways, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Highways, \$450,700. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Renewable Resources

Capital, Wildlife Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Renewable Resources, capital, wildlife services, surplus of \$9,400, page 21. Mrs. Sorensen. Nothing to report. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Energy Conservation, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Energy conservation, transfer of \$265,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Local Government

Capital, Directorate, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Local Government on page 22. Capital, \$1,100,000, directorate. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Town Planning And Lands, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 23, Local Government, town planning and lands, \$2,721,900. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, at the bottom of page 23 there is reference to the development of 35 residential lots in Norman Wells. A request was made by the committee for further information on these lots and a response was made saying that the majority, approximately 20 of the 35 lots, at Norman Wells will be serviced by next summer and have been reserved by Imperial Oil. The remaining lots will be on the open market and will be serviced as required. The purchase prices of the lots will provide for full recovery of expenditures related to the preparation of the lots.



CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Recreation

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 24, Local Government, capital, recreation, a surplus of \$495,000. Agreed? Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, you will notice that there have been five community projects that will be delayed or not proceeded with this year. The committee asked for further information on the cause for the delay and we learned that further development of the community halls listed depends on the approval of the proposed recreation policy and an evaluation of the proposed projects against an approved policy. We learned that none of the projects are in an advance program and design stage. Mr. Chairman, I would ask the Minister of Local Government at what stage is the proposed recreation policy and is there any plan to table that policy in this House?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Wah-Shee.

HON. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Mr. Chairman, I would like to have my deputy come in as a witness to reply to this.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Is it agreed that the deputy come in as a witness? Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Would you see that the deputy comes in? Mr. Elkin. Thank you. Mr. Elkin, did you get the question?

MR. ELKIN: Yes, I did, thank you.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Elkin.

MR. ELKIN: The outlines of the policy and the key elements of it have gone to the Executive and are approved. The stage we are at now is that the financial implications are being developed and as soon as the board can schedule the time, the final proposal will be going forward to them to be approved.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. Elkin. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Minister, the other part of the question was: Will it be tabled in the House and will we have an opportunity to look at it?

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Wah-Shee.

HON. JAMES WAH-SHEE: Yes, as soon as my colleagues have had an opportunity to look at the implications, particularly the financial implications, I would be more than pleased to table the policy.

Capital, Recreation, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Recreation, a surplus of \$495,000. Is it agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed.

---Agreed

Page 25. Could we excuse the witness? Are we through with him? Thank you, Mr. Elkin.

Department Of Health

Capital, Administration, Agreed

Page 25, administration, \$42,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, NWT Share Of Health Care Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Northwest Territories share of health care services, a surplus of \$302,000. Agreed? Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, your committee was concerned about the fact that \$302,000 was not being used in the area of health, considering that we do have a health problem in the Northwest Territories. We requested further information regarding reasons for the reduction of these funds, and we learned that there had not been any changes in the planned nursing stations and residences that were to be built. The surplus is due to National Health and Welfare's inability to carry out the total of the planned program this year, and the Government of the Northwest Territories share was reduced accordingly. I would ask the Minister of Health, then, Mr. Chairman, whether this money will be seen in next years budget, and whether the Department of Health plans to carry out their planned program next year.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. McCallum.

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Mr. Chairman, the National Health and Welfare, whose responsibility it is at the present time for nursing stations and residences, decided not to go ahead with the Aklavik nursing station and residence. I would expect that they will then put it in for the next year. If that is so, then we will have to pay our share.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. McCallum. Is \$302,000 surplus agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Territorial Hospital Insurance Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Territorial hospital insurance services, \$600,000 surplus. Agreed? Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, again we are seeing a returning of \$830,000 that had been budgeted for the Stanton Yellowknife Hospital. The committee was concerned about the delay in the progress of the hospital. However we learned that the Treasury Board's submission was about to go before the Treasury Board, and that the Government of the Northwest Territories should have a response on that soon. In the meantime, we have also learned that there are measures under way to begin the actual land preparation and other necessary work before the building goes ahead.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Social Services

Capital, Administration, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 26, Social Services, activity administration, \$65,600. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Corrections, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Corrections, \$55,100. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Family And Children's Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Family and children's services, \$36,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Services To Aged And Handicapped, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Services to aged and handicapped, \$220,000. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Further information was requested by the committee on the group home for returning psychiatric patients, and attached to the committee's report is information concerning both the referral centre in Winnipeg, referred to on page 25, and the group home for returning psychiatric patients, referred to at the bottom of page 26.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Financial Assistance Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 27, Social Services activity, \$30,000, financial assistance services. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Community Social Services, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Community social services, a surplus of \$1000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Economic Development And Tourism

Capital, Directorate, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 28. Economic Development and Tourism, capital, \$6000, directorate. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Tourism And Parks, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Tourism and parks, \$100,400. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Commerce, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Commerce, \$90,000. Agreed? Mrs. Sorensen.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, additional information was requested on the fish plants in Fort Liard and in Rankin Inlet. We received information that there was an error in the description of the Fort Liard facility. The building being repaired to a tune of \$14,000 is the craft shop. We learned also that canning is no longer taking place at Rankin Inlet, as fish products now are being frozen at the plant, and that operation is expected to continue, although an eventual relocation of the operation to another community in the Keewatin is contemplated. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Department Of Education

Capital, Administration, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 29, Education, \$9000, administration. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Schools, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Schools, \$3,097,000 surplus. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Further information was requested by the committee on why the delay in the construction of the Clyde River school. We learned that in view of the state of the preliminary design and program development for the Clyde River school, immediately prior to the preparation of the 1981-82 main estimates, construction costs should not have been included for this year, and the Department of Public Works advised against it. Tenders are now scheduled to be called for the project within three to four weeks. Mr. Chairman, when we met with Mr. Pilot, he further explained that this was a community project,

and that there were plans to first build a hotel for the community, using community labour as a training technique. The labourers then would help build the school. The hotel that would be built prior to the building of the school would be turned over to the community co-op. That was mentioned to us in a meeting, however that was not returned to us in writing. I am wondering if we received the correct information when we met with Mr. Pilot a couple of days ago.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mrs. Sorensen. Mr. Pilot.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER PILOT: Well, Mr. Chairman, yes, the information was correct.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mrs. Sorensen.

MRS. SORENSEN: Mr. Chairman, I think that Mr. Pilot saying that that is correct indicates that there is an obvious plan within our government to respond to community needs. It is obviously being done within Clyde River, and I think that Mr. Pilot and his regional operations and the Department of Public Works are to be commended for their sensitivity to the needs within that community.

---Applause

I would hope that other communities can have the same kind of projects planned for them.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Very kind words. Thank you.

---Laughter

Capital, Continuing Education, Agreed

Continuing education, \$1000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Vocational Education, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Vocational education, \$3,308,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Higher Education, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Higher education, a surplus of \$12,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Capital, Pupil Residences, Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Page 30, Education, activity, pupil residences, \$129,000. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): This completes page by page. We cannot complete the document. We are awaiting some further reports. So what is the wish of the committee? Report progress? Mr. McCallum.

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Mr. Chairman, I do not know whether you want to report progress on this particular bill, but we have a number of other bills I think that are very minor, and amendments, that we would like to put forth now. Those bills are enumerated on the orders of the day. I would suggest that we deal with those bills, Bills 2-81(3), 4-81(3), 5-81(3), 7-81(3), etc.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed?

---Agreed

What is the wish of the committee? What bill do you want to bring forth?  
Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: On the order paper, as provided, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 2-81(3). All-terrain Vehicles Ordinance, amendment. Bill 2-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the All-terrain Vehicles Ordinance. Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MacQUARRIE: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The standing committee on legislation, and this -- to save time, I will state it right at the present time -- the standing committee on legislation has reviewed Bill 2-81(3), Bill 4-81(3), Bill 5-81(3), Bill 7-81(3), Bill 8-81(3), Bill 9-81(3), Bill 11-81(3), Bill 12-81(3)...

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. MacQuarrie, we are only referred today up to Bill 9-81(3), I think. The Speaker only gave us up to Bill 9-81(3) today.

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: They are on the order paper.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The Speaker only referred to Bill 9-81(3). Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: Well, it is quite in order to go through all of them. I just referred up to Bill 9-81(3) on this committee because I felt that that was a days business, and we would be fortunate to reach Bill 9-81(3) by the end of the sitting hours.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Proceed. Mr. MacQuarrie. I am sorry.

MR. MacQUARRIE: Okay. We had reviewed -- I do not remember where I stopped. Bill 11-81(3), was it? Bill 12-81(3), Bill 13-81(3), Bill 14-81(3), Bill 15-81(3) and Bill 19-81(3), and the committee agreed that these should be referred to committee of the whole as they are.

Bill 2-81(3), All-terrain Vehicles Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you very much. Bill 2-81(3). Are we ready for a clause by clause discussion?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 1. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed. The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill now is ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 4-81(3), Education Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 4-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the Education Ordinance. Clause 1, Rae-Edzo school society. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 2, school day. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Is the bill ready for third reading?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 5-81(3), Fair Practices Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 5-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the Fair Practices Ordinance. Clause 1. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 2, employers may not discriminate. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 3, employment application and advertisements not to discriminate. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 4, membership in trade unions. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 5, discrimination prohibited. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 6, discrimination prohibited in notices, signs, etc. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 7, idem. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): We will report the bill now ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 7-81(3), Interpretation Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 7-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the Interpretation Ordinance. Clause 1. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 2. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 3, department head. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 4, peace officer. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed



CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Report the bill ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 8-81(3), Judicature Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 8-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the Judicature Ordinance. Clause 1, composition of court of appeal. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. Braden.

Motion To Amend Subsection 21(2), Bill 8-81(3), Carried

HON. GEORGE BRADEN: Mr. Chairman, I regret to have to indicate that there are a couple of very minor amendments that I would like to move to this bill. On section 21, I need to make a marginal note amendment by adding the term "post-judgment interest". I have copies so that Members can see what the marginal note is. There is another amendment in subsection 47(1), Mr. Chairman, which is a spelling mistake. You will note that in the last line of 47(1), it reads "per cent pler annum", and it should be "per cent per annum,". I have the corrected version of that as well, so I would like to move, Mr. Chairman, that subsection 21(2) be amended by adding the marginal note, "post-judgment interest".

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 1 was agreed, and then we are dealing with clause 2, now, I understand, Mr. Braden. Has everybody got the amendment? Mr. Braden.

HON. GEORGE BRADEN: Can you indicate what process we are following here? I moved an amendment to subsection 21(2), and we are on that now.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Well, I understand, Mr. Braden, that clause 1 was agreed, and then we are dealing with clause 2 now. That is what I am trying to get straight. Clause 1 is agreed.

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 2, as amended. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Carried

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. MacQuarrie.

MR. MacQUARRIE: I just wanted to say, Mr. Chairman, that the standing committee had reviewed the bill. The changes are not of any substance, and we see no reason for not approving them.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you very much. Clause 2, prejudgment interest. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 3, Commissioner's costs. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Report the bill now ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): We are too far ahead of these Clerks, here. Did I say clause 3 as amended? I am sure I did. Clause 2 as amended. Well, clause 3 as amended? Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): I report the bill now ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 9-81(3), Northwest Territories Housing Corporation Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 9-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the Northwest Territories Housing Corporation Ordinance. Clause 1, housing projects. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 2, board of directors. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 3, employees. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 4, corporation may contract for the Executive Member. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 5, borrowing powers. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 6, municipalities may borrow. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 7, territorial guarantee. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 8. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 9. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 10, bank accounts. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 11. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 12, report to be tabled. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 13, land assembly and development project. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 14, corporation may enter into agreements. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 15, municipality may enter into agreements. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 16, neighbourhood improvement agreements. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 17, borrowing of money for sewage treatment projects. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 18, incorporation of housing authorities. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 19, housing associations. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 20, temporary housing projects. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Report the bill now ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Does the committee wish to proceed with Bill 10-81(3)?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed?

AN HON. MEMBER: No.

AN HON. MEMBER: Bill 11-81(3).

Bill 11-81(3), Northwest Territories Public Service Association Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 11-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the Northwest Territories Public Service Association Ordinance.

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Mr. McCallum.

Motion To Amend Paragraphs 1(2)(b), 1(2)(c) And Add Paragraph 1(2)(d),  
Bill 11-81(3), Carried

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Mr. Chairman, I again would simply like to say that I would like to move a further amendment to this ordinance. In clause 1, subsection -- the section dealing with persons ineligible -- I have a proposed amendment that I think has been or will be distributed. I would like to change the clause (c) that is in the book to read: "...as a dentist within the meaning of the Dental Profession Ordinance or..." and then change section (d): "...in a managerial or confidential capacity in the opinion of the Commissioner..." I would so move the amendment.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Have you got a copy of that, Mr. McCallum, please?

HON. ARNOLD McCALLUM: Yes, I was under the understanding that it had been passed around.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you. Mr. MacQuarrie, to the amendment.

MR. MacQUARRIE: Yes, Mr. Chairman, that matter had not been brought to our attention when the standing committee on legislation was reviewing the bill. However, in agreeing to the change which included (b), "...as a medical practitioner within the meaning of the Medical Profession Ordinance...", I feel that we did accept the principle that there ought to be certain exclusions in the professions and so I do not see the addition of this clause as being, in any substantive way, different from what we approved and therefore it seems as though the amendment is acceptable.

---Carried

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Thank you, Mr. MacQuarrie. Clause 1, persons ineligible, as amended. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Now report the bill ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 12-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the Territorial Parks Ordinance. Is it agreed to proceed with Bill 12-81(3)?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 12-81(3), Territorial Parks Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 1. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 2, agreements with the Government of Canada or province. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Now report the bill ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Is it agreed that we do Bill 13-81(3)?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 13-81(3), Travel And Outdoor Recreation Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 1, agreements with the Government of Canada or province. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed. The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Report Bill 13-81(3) ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Bill 14-81(3), is it agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 14-81(3), Workers' Compensation Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): An Ordinance to Amend the Workers' Compensation Ordinance. Clause 1, years maximum assessable remuneration. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 2. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Report the bill ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Is it agreed we deal with Bill 15-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend Certain Ordinances?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 15-81(3), Ordinance Amendment Ordinance, 1981

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 1, short title. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 2, powers of the Executive Member. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 3, registration and issue of licences where insurance of employees required. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 4, mentally incompetent adult children. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 5, apprehension of child in need of protection. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 6. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 7, short title. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 8. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 9, instruments to be registered. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 10, failure to comply. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 11. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 12, certain reports and other publications privileged. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 13, qualifications. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 14. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed



CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 15. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 16, certain powers of judge. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 17, limitation period. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 18. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 19, exception. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 20, plans to be submitted for approval. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 21, permission required for supply of electricity. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 22, regulations. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 23, short title. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 24, renewal of commission. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 25. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 26. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 27, approved programs. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 28, power to invest in securities. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 29, securities defined. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 30, idem. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 31, duty to commit. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 32. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 33. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 34, expressions in regulations have same meaning as in enactment. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 35. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 36, student-at-law as counsel. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 37, procedure for cancellation. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 38, proceedings for cancellation. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Short title. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill is now ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): We will now go to Bill 19-81(3). Is it agreed that we do Bill 19-81(3), An Ordinance to Amend the Wildlife Ordinance?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

Bill 19-81(3), Wildlife Ordinance

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Clause 1, ex officio officers. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): The bill as a whole. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): We now report the bill ready for third reading. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Agreed.

---Agreed

Is it the committee's wish, now that we have completed these, that we want to go into -- what is the wish of the committee?

AN HON. MEMBER: Progress.

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): Progress. Agreed?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

CHAIRMAN (Mr. Fraser): It is agreed that we report progress.

MR. SPEAKER: Just to set the record straight, let the record be amended to show that on putting you into the committee of the whole the words would be "to resolve into committee of the whole to study numbered bills first and all other bills as presented on the orders of the day".

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

MR. SPEAKER: So do I have agreement for that?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

MR. SPEAKER: Let the orders then be changed. Mr. Fraser.

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE OF BILL 1-81(3); TABLED DOCUMENT 7-81(3); ELEVENTH REPORT OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE ON FINANCE; BILL 2-81(3); BILL 4-81(3); BILL 5-81(3); BILL 7-81(3); BILL 8-81(3); BILL 9-81(3); BILL 11-81(3); BILL 12-81(3); BILL 13-81(3); BILL 14-81(3); BILL 15-81(3); BILL 19-81(3)

MR. FRASER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Your committee has been dealing with Bill 1-81(3) and this bill is not concluded pending some further information. Bills 2-81(3), 4-81(3), 5-81(3), 7-81(3), 9-81(3), 12-81(3), 13-81(3), 14-81(3), 15-81(3), and 19-81(3) are now ready for third reading. Bills 8-81(3) and 11-81(3) as amended are also ready for third reading. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you, Mr. Fraser. What is the wish of the House? Do you wish to go into committee of the whole relative to the constitution of Canada or do you wish to recognize the clock? Ms Cournoyea.

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Speaker, may I have unanimous consent to go back to notices of motion?

SOME HON. MEMBERS: Agreed.

---Agreed

MR. SPEAKER: Are there any nays?

---Agreed

REVERT TO ITEM NO. 9: NOTICES OF MOTION -

Proceed, Ms Cournoyea.

Notice Of Motion 9-81(3): Issue Of Regulations Under Wildlife Ordinance

MS COURNOYEA: Mr. Speaker, I give notice that on November 30th I will move the following motion:

Now therefore I move, that this House recommend to the Commissioner that he promulgate regulations as provided in subsection 58(1) of the Wildlife Ordinance, allowing the holders of commercial permits to abandon unmarketable portions of musk-ox or to abandon unmarketable animals, such as trophy bulls.

And further that such regulations be limited specifically to commercial and guided harvesting of big game in the Western Arctic region where there are known populations of musk-ox and where commercial quotas have been issued.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you. Mr. Butters.

Notice Of Motion 10-81(3): Request To Commissioner To Issue Regulations Under Wildlife Ordinance

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Speaker, I wish to give notice that on December the 1st I will move the following motion:

Whereas the existing regulations issued under the Wildlife Ordinance are silent with respect to the issuance of export permits for game meat, that in accordance with the regulations has a commercial tag attached to it;

And whereas it is desirable for bodies corporate who are engaged in developing commercial markets for game meat to be permitted to export such meat outside the Northwest Territories;

Now therefore, I move that the Assembly recommend to the Commissioner that he issue regulations as provided in subsection 61(2) of the Wildlife Ordinance to permit the export of meat and meat products that has been taken under commercial permit to such bodies corporate;

And further that these regulations should limit such right to export to recognize enterprises registered as a wholesale or retail business carrying out active marketing of game meat.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you. Are there any further motions? Announcements, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK OF THE HOUSE (Mr. Remnant): Yes, Mr. Speaker. The special committee on education will be holding a public hearing in Fort Good Hope on Sunday, November 29. Committee Members are reminded to be at the Ptarmigan Terminal at the main airport by 10:00 a.m. tomorrow morning. There will be a caucus meeting at 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, December 1, in Katimavik A.

MR. SPEAKER: Thank you. Would you give us the orders of the day please, Mr. Clerk?

ITEM NO. 14: ORDERS OF THE DAY

CLERK OF THE HOUSE (Mr. Remnant): Orders of the day, 1:00 p.m., Monday, November 30, 1981.

1. Prayer
2. Replies to Commissioner's Address
3. Oral Questions
4. Questions and Returns
5. Petitions
6. Tabling of Documents
7. Reports of Standing and Special Committees
8. Notices of Motion for First Reading of Bills
9. Notices of Motion
10. Motions
11. Introduction of Bills for First Reading
12. Second Reading of Bills
13. Consideration in Committee of the Whole of Bills, Recommendations to the Legislature and Other Matters: Report of the Special Committee on the Constitution of Canada; Bills 1-81(3), 3-81(3), 6-81(3), 10-81(3) and 17-81(3); Report of the Special Committee on the Impact of Division; Interim Report of the Special Committee on Education; Our Land Our Future, Discussion Paper on Political and Constitutional Development

14. Orders of the Day

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Mr. Speaker.

MR. SPEAKER: Mr. Butters.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Did I hear third reading of bills?

AN HON. MEMBER: It is not on the order paper.

MR. SPEAKER: No, you did not hear third reading of bills.

HON. TOM BUTTERS: Would it be proper to include that item on the order paper as the work of the committee today moved certain bills into that position?

MR. SPEAKER: There should be no objection. Mr. Clerk, will you see that the third reading of bills is added to the orders of the day. This House then stands adjourned until 1:00 p.m., Monday, November 30th.

---ADJOURNMENT

Available from the  
Clerk of the Legislative Assembly of the Northwest Territories,  
Yellowknife, N.W.T. at 50¢ per day, \$5.00 per session and \$12.50 per year.  
Published under the Authority of the Commissioner  
of the Northwest Territories