

TABLED DOCUMENT NO. 64 - 13(3) TABLED ON MAY 29 1996

NWT GOVERNMENT LIBRARY



3 1936 00030 569 6

Capital Location Scenario			
REGION -	COMMUNITY	Iqaluit	Rankin Inlet Cambridge Bay
BAFFIN	Iqaluit	99	2.5 2.5
	Pangnirtung	80	41 41
	Pond Inlet	77	53 37
	Cape Dorset	67	0 8
	Igloolik	93.5	61.5 66.5
	Sub-total Baffin	416.5	158 155
KEEWATIN		33.5	216 -3
	Rankin Inlet	55	76 27
	Arviat	28	99 17
	Baker Lake	116.5	391 41
KITIKMEOT		29	15 255
	Cambridge Bay	33	36 97
	Coppermine	5	0 52
	Gjoa Haven	67	51 404
	Sub-total Kitikmeot		
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>600</b>	<b>600 600</b>

This summary reflects that, except for the capital community in the capital options of Rankin Inlet and Cambridge Bay, the net increase in territorial staff for any community is under 100 positions. For the Rankin Inlet capital option, Rankin Inlet would grow by 216 territorial government positions. For Cambridge Bay as capital option, Cambridge Bay would grow by 255 territorial government positions.

Although there is moderate territorial government staff growth in several of the mid sized communities in Nunavut, the decentralized model eliminates the major impact on the capital that would be associated with a centralized government structure.

For the purpose of assessing the implications, these six components of the recommended organizational structure can be reduced to three broad categories:

1. establishment of the headquarters for the Nunavut Government in the capital and the location of some headquarters functions and semi-autonomous boards and agencies in regional offices.